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ABSTRACT: Achieving exquisite control over self-assembly of functional polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and nanographene (NG) is essential for their exploitation as active elements in (nano)technological applications. In the framework of our effort to leverage their functional complexity, we designed and synthesized two hexa-peri-hexabenzocoronene (HBC) triads, pAHA and eAHA, decorated with two light-responsive azobenzene moieties at the pseudo-para and ortho positions, respectively. Their photoisomerization in solution is demonstrated by UV–vis absorption. 1H NMR measurements of eAHA suggested 23% of Z-form can be obtained at a photostationary state with UV irradiation (366 nm). Scanning tunneling microscopy imaging revealed that the self-assembly of pAHA and eAHA at the solid–liquid interface between highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and their solution in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene can be modulated upon light irradiation. This is in contrast to our previous work using HBC bearing a single azobenzene moiety, which did not show such photomodulation of the self-assembled structure. Upon E-Z isomerization both pAHA and eAHA displayed an increased packing density on the surface of graphite. Moreover, pAHA revealed a change of self-assembled pattern from an oblique unit cell to a dimer row rectangular crystal lattice whereas the assembly of eAHA retained a dimer row structure before and after light irradiation, yet with a modification of the inter-row molecular orientation. Molecular mechanics/molecular dynamics simulations validated the self-assembly patterns of pAHA and eAHA, comprising azobenzenes in their Z-forms. These results pave the way toward use of suitably functionalized large PAHs, as well as NGs, to develop photoswitchable devices.

INTRODUCTION

Hexa-peri-hexabenzocoronene (HBC), a molecule containing 42 sp² carbons and possessing a D₆h symmetry, is one of the most representative and well-studied polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). With a diameter slightly exceeding 1 nm, it is considered one of the smallest possible nanographenes (NGs), i.e., molecularly defined subunits of the graphene lattice which is confined in at least one of its lateral dimensions.¹–³ For this reason, HBC is frequently used as a model system to gain insight into the behavior of NG-based materials.⁴–⁸

The large π-condensed core of HBC gives rise to strong, noncovalent interactions among adjacent molecules, as well as between molecules and the basal plane of graphite. Understanding the resulting self-assembly processes is essential for advances in HBC-based (nano)technologies.⁵,⁶,⁹ Self-assembly of HBC derivatives has been studied in various environments, namely, in solution and in bulk, where 3D columnar supramolecular structures are formed,⁴,¹⁰–¹² and on surfaces, enabling programmed 2D nanopatternning.⁴,¹³,¹⁹ Substituents at peripheral positions play a key role in controlling self-
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assembly behavior of HBC. For example, HBCs with appropriate alkyl substituents show thermal phase transitions between crystalline and liquid-crystalline phases, where the phase-transition temperature can be tuned by the length of the side chains.21,22,23 Self-assembly of HBCs can thus be controlled by heat, yet the use of other external stimuli as remote controls to regulate self-assembly of HBC-based materials has only been occasionally considered.19,22,23

Among external stimuli, light is particularly attractive, as photons may be remotely applied with high spatial and temporal resolution. A plausible way of imparting photofluctuation characteristics to HBCs is to functionalize their peripheries with photochromic moieties.23–26 In particular, azobenzene exhibits a pronounced geometric change between its E/Z-isomers, which has been applied to light-controlled, self-assembled materials and photomechanical devices.27–31 Photoresponsive self-assembly of azobenzene-containing materials has been intensively investigated, especially at solid–air32–36 and solid–liquid37–42 interfaces. Surface confinement restricts assembly in 2D and provides an opportunity for detailed examination of structures and dynamics of these molecules in real space by scanning probe microscopy techniques. In this context, it is extremely interesting to combine carbon-based nanostructures with photochromic molecules like azobenzene to develop optically responsive, self-assembled materials and photomechanical devices.43–45

Photoresponsive self-assembly of azobenzene-containing materials has been intensively investigated, especially at solid–air32–36 and solid–liquid37–42 interfaces. Surface confinement restricts assembly in 2D and provides an opportunity for detailed examination of structures and dynamics of these molecules in real space by scanning probe microscopy techniques. In this context, it is extremely interesting to combine carbon-based nanostructures with photochromic molecules like azobenzene to develop optically responsive, self-assembled materials and photomechanical devices.43–45

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis of Azobenzene–HBC–Azobenzene Triads.**

pAHA and oAHA were synthesized from the corresponding HBCs 1 and 2 bearing two bromo groups at desired positions, in addition to four n-dodecyl chains,43 which guarantee the molecule’s good solubility in organic solvents and high affinity to graphite surfaces (Table 1). The azobenzene moieties were introduced by a 2-fold Suzuki coupling reaction between 1 or 2 with azobenzene boronic ester 3.44 pAHA and oAHA were isolated in 69% and 73% yields, respectively.

**Photoisomerization in Solution.** Reversible photoisomerization of both pAHA and oAHA could be observed in solution upon alternating irradiation at 366 and 436 nm (Figures S1–S3). The spectral changes upon photoisomerization were relatively small and could be attributed to overlapping absorption features of the azobenzene units and the HBC core. HBC has a higher extinction coefficient,13,15 which could overshadow spectral variation of the azobenzene units. Nevertheless, oAHA showed sufficient solubility to enable 1H NMR spectroscopic analysis at room temperature, which demonstrated that approximately 23% of Z-form was obtained in a photostationary state (PSS) after irradiation at 366 nm (Figure S4).

**STM Investigation of 2D Self-Assembly.** The UV–vis absorption and 1H NMR results, indicating the efficient photoisomerization of pAHA and oAHA in solution, motivated us to investigate the influence of isomerization on their self-assembly at the solid–liquid interface between graphite and their supramolecular solutions. 2D self-assembly patterns of pAHA and oAHA were monitored by STM at the interface between 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (TCB) solutions and highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) before and after in situ UV light irradiation (Figures 1 and 2; Table 1). In all cases, brighter areas with a diameter of ∼1 nm can be assigned to HBC cores as a result of more favorable resonant tunneling between the Fermi level of HOPG and the frontier orbitals of the large aromatic core. Nonirradiated pAHA exhibits an oblique unit cell containing one molecule and an area of 6.8 ± 0.6 nm² per molecule (Figure 1a and Table 1), while oAHA forms a dimeric structure with two molecules per unit cell and an area of 5.9 ± 0.3 nm² per molecule (Figure 2a and Table 1). A different assembly was also observed for oAHA (Figure S5; Table S1). These crystal packings are all assigned to assemblies of E,E-isomers, which have both azobenzene molecules in E-form (Figures 1a, 2a, and S5).

It is interesting to note that, although pAHA and oAHA are a pair of isomers themselves, their assemblies show significantly different density where that of oAHA is much more compact. Different packing motifs between pAHA and oAHA demonstrate that connectivity of the azobenzene–HBC–azobenzene triads strongly affects molecular self-assembly at the solid–liquid interface. The same supramolecular packing is extended over a larger area (50 nm × 50 nm) (Figures 1a and 2a, insets). Analysis of pAHA over even larger areas demonstrated that the crystalline domains end when terraces in the HOPG surface were encountered. These domains remained intact for hours without transforming into other self-assembled structures, thus confirming high stability of the crystal packing.

**STM Investigation after Light Irradiation.** After the 2D self-assembly patterns of pAHA and oAHA were illustrated, their solution on the HOPG substrate was irradiated in situ with UV light (λ = 366 nm) to examine the effect of photoisomerization of the azobenzene moieties on the 2D crystalline assemblies. After 3 min of irradiation at a power density of 2 mW/cm², new domains with radically different lattice parameters were observed for both pAHA and oAHA, along with the simultaneous presence of the aforementioned
domains formed by their $E,E$-isomers (Figures 1b and 2b, respectively). Molecules in the photomodified domains assemble in dimer rows with a rectangular crystal lattice for both $p$AHA and $o$AHA showing an area of $5.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm}^2$ and $4.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ nm}^2$ per molecule, respectively (Figures 1b and 2b and Table 1). Additionally, another dimer row structured self-assembly pattern with $\delta$ close to 0° and a parallelogram unit cell was observed for $p$AHA (Figure S6 and Table S1). HBC cores in the photomodified domains appear darker, compared with those in intact domains of the $E,E$-isomers, coexisting on the same STM image (inset of Figures 1b and 2b). This could indicate a larger HOPG/HBC distance $^{46}$ possibly induced by nonplanar $Z$-form azobenzene arms. Moreover, the photomodified domains exhibit denser packing than those in the original domains of the $E,E$-isomers, as evidenced by their smaller average area per molecule (Table 1). These observations support an assumption that the photomodified domains consist of molecules containing nonplanar $Z$-form isomers, with azobenzene arms presumably back-folded in the supernatant solution, reducing the molecular footprint visualized by STM. However, although rarely observed on HOPG for HBC species, $^{9,10,47,48}$ occurrence of a change in a 2D-assembly pattern for the $E,E$-isomers themselves cannot be completely excluded.

**Figure 1.** STM images recorded at the interface between HOPG and a 0.1 mM solution of $p$AHA in TCB and their 2D crystal packing models derived from MM/MD simulations. (a) An STM image taken without irradiation ($p$AHA ori in Table 1) and (c) a corresponding packing model of $E,E$-isomers. (b) An image recorded after irradiation at 366 nm ($p$AHA irr in Table 1) and (d) a corresponding packing model of $E,Z$-isomers. Inset in (a, b): images of the same crystal packing taken for a larger area of 50 nm $\times$ 50 nm. The newly formed dimer row crystal packing coexists with the oblique packing of $E$-isomers with a clear border, as can be seen in the inset of (b). Tunneling parameters: (a) bias voltage tunneling ($V_T$) $= -300 \text{ mV}$, average tunneling current ($I_T$) $= 20 \text{ pA}$; (c) $V_T = -300 \text{ mV}$, $I_T = 20 \text{ pA}$. Atom color coding: (c, d) carbon (gray), nitrogen (blue), hydrogen (white).

**Figure 2.** STM images recorded at the interface of HOPG and a 0.1 mM TCB solution of $o$AHA and their 2D crystal packing models derived from MM/MD simulations. (a) An STM image taken without irradiation ($o$AHA ori in Table 1) and (c) a corresponding packing model of $E,E$-isomers. (b) An image taken after irradiation at 366 nm ($o$AHA irr in Table 1) and (d) a corresponding packing model of $E,Z$-isomers. Inset in (a, b): images of the same crystal packing taken over a larger area 50 nm $\times$ 50 nm. The newly formed rectangular dimer row crystal packing coexists with the domain of $E,E$-isomers with a clear border, as can be seen in the inset of (b). Tunneling parameters: (a) $V_T = -550 \text{ mV}$, $I_T = 20 \text{ pA}$; (c) $V_T = -700 \text{ mV}$, $I_T = 20 \text{ pA}$. Atom color coding: (c, d) carbon (gray), nitrogen (blue), hydrogen (white).

**Simulation of the Assembly Patterns.** MM/MD calculations were performed to further support the assumed formation of self-assembled domains consisting of isomers with $Z$-form azobenzenes, as well as to gain a greater understanding of how the azobenzene moieties govern the self-assembly of $p$AHA and $o$AHA by unraveling the packing at the atomistic level. Although lattice constants extracted from simulated results are generally larger than those experimentally determined, there is a reasonable agreement between experiment and simulation, allowing for a detailed interpretation of the STM images (Figure S7 and Table S2). Considering the relatively low $Z/E$-ratio at the PSS suggested by $^1$H NMR measurements for $o$AHA (Figure S4) and assuming similar behavior for $p$AHA, we infer that the assembly patterns arising after irradiation in both cases are composed of $E,Z$-isomers, but not $Z,Z$-isomers. The best matching simulated patterns of $E,Z$-isomers are displayed in Figures 1c,d and 2c,d. Interestingly, nanophase separations between flexible alkyl chains and rigid azobenzenes are suggested for the packing of $E,E$-isomers of both $p$AHA and $o$AHA (Figures 1c and 2c, respectively). The nanophase separation disappears in the self-assembly of the $E,Z$-isomer of $p$AHA (Figure 1d). In the case of $o$AHA, azobenzenes intercalate in a zigzag fashion. Spatial demand of azobenzenes on the surface determines the length $b$ of the short lattice axis (Figure 2c): when one of the azobenzenes is switched into the $Z$-form, molecular size is reduced and the packing becomes tighter (Figure 2d). In contrast to $p$AHA, the
nanophase separation in oAHA is retained in the self-assembly of both E,Z- and Z,Z-isomers (Figure S7). The incremental increase of binding energy with the number of Z-units in the oAHA molecule indicates that interaction between Z-azobenzenes benefits retention of the nanophase separation patterns (Table S2).

It is important to stress that observation of stable self-assemblies of molecules containing Z-isomers of azobenzene-based photoswitches at the solid–liquid interface by STM represents a challenging task.37,40 This is a result of the nonplanarity of the Z-isomers and lone-pair electrons of nitrogens interacting with the graphite surfaces, thereby causing molecular desorption. Formation of stable assemblies for different photoactive states without desorption/readsoption of molecules is essential for development of responsive surfaces and devices.45,49,50 In our case, the packing density increased upon irradiation with UV light, and we did not monitor the presence of regions with fuzzy contrast between domains of different assemblies. It is therefore likely that the physisorbed molecules undergo a process that involves the subsequent desorption, isomerization in solution, and readsoption onto the surface where void space is created by the shrinking of the assemblies. At the same time, some other molecules may undergo isomerization directly on the surface. Moreover, large PAHs such as HBC, namely, NGs, exhibit very high adsorption energy toward HOPG surfaces.51 In this regard, desorption of molecules from the surface might not occur while molecules may move on the surface during irradiation and rearrange to form the new domains. However, the absence of a monitoring of the switching on the time scale of the event does not allow us to provide a conclusive mechanism for this process. Note also from the MM/MD calculation that the event does not allow us to provide a conclusive mechanism of this photomodulated self-assembly and exploring HBC derivatives possessing more azobenzene substituents and higher symmetry.

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

**General Details.** All reactions working with air- or moisture-sensitive compounds were carried out under argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk line techniques. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel coated aluminum sheets with F254 indicator. Silica gel column chromatography separation was performed with 0.063–0.200 mm particle size. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded in deuterated solvents using Bruker AVANCE II 500 and Bruker AVANCE III 700 MHz NMR spectrometers. The $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded with a spin−echo attached-proton test (APPT) sequence with CH, CH$_2$ showing negative signal and C, CH$_3$ showing positive signal. Chemical shifts (δ) were expressed in ppm relative to the residual of solvent (C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$ @ 6.00 ppm for $^{1}$H NMR and 73.78 ppm for $^{13}$C NMR). Coupling constants (J) were recorded in Hertz (Hz) with multiplicities explained by the following abbreviations: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, m = multiplet, and br = broad. The UV−vis absorption spectra were measured with a PerkinElmer Lambda 900 spectrophotometer in a quartz cuvette (Hellma) with a light path of 1 cm at room temperature. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded by matrix-assisted laser decomposition/ionization (MALDI) using 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) as a matrix with a Bruker Reflex II-TOF spectrometer (MALDI-TOF-HRMS).

**Materials.** Unless otherwise noted, all starting materials and reagents were purchased from commercial sources (Alfa Aesar, Sigma-Aldrich, Acros, and TCI) and used without further purification. HBCs 1 and 2 containing two bromo functional groups and the azobenzene boronic ester were synthesized according to the previously published literature procedures.

**Synthesis of pAHA.** To a suspension of dibromo-HBC 1 (40 mg, 0.030 mmol), SPhos (4.4 mg, 0.011 mmol), and azobenzene boronic ester 3 (26 mg, 0.0084 mmol) in toluene (8 mL) was added a solution of K$_2$CO$_3$ (1.4 g, 10 mmol) in water (1 mL) and ethanol (1.5 mL). This mixture was degassed by the freeze−pump−thaw technique (1 cycle). Pd[PPPh$_3$]$_4$ (8.0 mg, 0.0069 mmol) was then added to the mixture, which was further degassed by the freeze−pump−thaw technique for another 2 cycles. The mixture was then heated at 40 °C under vigorous stirring for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into methanol. The yellow precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with methanol and then purified by silica gel column chromatography (two times, eluent: hot toluene) to afford the title compound as a bright yellow solid (32 mg, 69%). $^{1}$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) 373 K: δ 8.60−8.40 (br, 4H), 8.35−8.20 (m, 4H), 8.20−8.05 (m, 8H), 8.05−7.95 (m, 8H), 7.75−7.55 (m, 6H), 3.15−2.85 (br, 8H), 2.15−1.90 (br, 8H), 1.85−1.60 (br, 16H), 1.60−1.20 (m, 56H), 1.10−0.85 (br, 12H). $^{13}$C NMR

---

**Table 1. Experimental Lattice Constants of pAHA and oAHA Self-Assembly at the HOPG/TCB Interface**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a (nm)</th>
<th>b (nm)</th>
<th>γ (deg)</th>
<th>$\chi$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\delta$ (deg)</th>
<th>Area* (nm$^2$)</th>
<th>$E_{ads}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>BE* (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pAHA ori</td>
<td>3.0 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>2.5 $\pm$ 0.1</td>
<td>65 $\pm$ 5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.8 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
<td>-210.89'</td>
<td>-23.35'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAHA irr$^a$</td>
<td>4.2 $\pm$ 0.1</td>
<td>2.52 $\pm$ 0.05</td>
<td>88 $\pm$ 1</td>
<td>1.6 $\pm$ 0.1</td>
<td>11 $\pm$ 3</td>
<td>5.3 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>-204.57$^a$</td>
<td>-13.83$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oAHA ori</td>
<td>4.4 $\pm$ 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 $\pm$ 0.1</td>
<td>81 $\pm$ 3</td>
<td>2.0 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>25 $\pm$ 4</td>
<td>5.9 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
<td>-211.58$^a$</td>
<td>-17.27$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oAHA irr$^a$</td>
<td>5.2 $\pm$ 0.2</td>
<td>1.77 $\pm$ 0.09</td>
<td>83 $\pm$ 5</td>
<td>2.4 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
<td>-4 $\pm$ 4</td>
<td>4.6 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
<td>-205.72$^a$</td>
<td>-19.50$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Only formed after irradiation at 366 nm. $^b$Inter-row distance and angle, specified in STM figures. $^c$Area per molecule. $^d$Adsorption energy between molecule and the HOPG surface. Derived from MM/MD simulation. $^e$Intermolecular binding energy. Derived from MM/MD simulation. $^f$Calculated for the $E$,$E$-form. $^g$Calculated for the $E$-$Z$-form.
coupled LED light source (ThorLabs, 365 nm, multiazobenzene compounds. The details about the description of irradiation of the solution on the HOPG substrate with optical investigation. The photocontrolled assembly was achieved by in situ the HOPG and a drop (4 μL). Supporting Information. More details of experiments can be found in the

The methodology employed in the MM/MD simulation methods and description can be found in the Supporting Information.
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