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## A B S T R A C T

In this paper we establish an optimal Lorentz space estimate for the Riesz potential acting on curl-free vectors: There is a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} F\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|F\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

for all fields $F \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that curl $F=0$ in the sense of distributions. This is the best possible estimate on this scale of spaces and completes the picture in the regime $p=1$ of the well-established results for $p>1$.
© 2020 The Author. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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## 1. Introduction

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in(0, d)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} F\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|F\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all fields $F \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that curl $F=0$ in the sense of distributions.
Here $L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ denotes the space of vector-valued functions whose Euclidean norm is in the Lorentz space $L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (see below in Section 2 for a precise definition of this space) and $I_{\alpha}$ is the Riesz potential, defined for measurable functions in the scalar setting by the formula

$$
I_{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y
$$

with an analogous definition in the vector setting by operating on components (see Section 2 for the definition of the constant $\gamma(\alpha)$ ).

As it may be of interest, let us also record two equivalent formulations of the inequality (1.1) before discussing the literature, our proof, some extensions, and a dual result. In particular, taking into account the curl-free condition, the inequality (1.1) can alternatively be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \dot{W}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Such an estimate then extends to $\dot{B V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by density in the strict topology (and in turn one can also assert an analogue of (1.1) for measures). Meanwhile the boundedness of the Riesz transforms on the Lorentz spaces and a density argument imply that both (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\|R f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $R f:=\nabla I_{1} f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Theorem 1.1 completes the picture concerning the study of the mapping properties of the Riesz potential on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ into Lorentz spaces for $1 \leq p<\frac{d}{\alpha}$. We recall that it was S . Sobolev who had initiated the study on the scale of Lebesgue spaces in [38] (see also $[21,35]$ for related results in one dimension), where he demonstrated that one has the existence of a constant $\tilde{C}=\tilde{C}(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \tilde{C}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, provided $1<p<d / \alpha$ and where

$$
\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{d}
$$

Subsequent work by R. O'Neil [33] then showed that for the same range of $p$ and corresponding definition of $q$ one has an improvement to this inequality on the Lorentz scale, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{q, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \tilde{C}^{\prime}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\tilde{C}^{\prime}>0$ and for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Recall that $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=L^{q, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, while spaces $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ are nested increasing with respect to the second parameter. The fact that $p<q$ thus implies that inequality (1.5) improves (1.4), while simple examples show that it is the best possible result on this scale.

It is well-known that (1.4) (and hence (1.5)) cannot hold for $p=1$, though one has various possible replacements. A classical result to this effect is the weak-type estimate of A. Zygmund [44]: There exists $\tilde{C}^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left\{x:\left|I_{\alpha} f(x)\right|>t\right\}\right|^{(d-\alpha) / d} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}^{\prime \prime}}{t}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

for all $t>0$ and all $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Here while the standard counterexample (cf. [40], p. 119) shows that one cannot obtain a strong-type inequality with only the assumption $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, E. Stein and G. Weiss [41] have shown that for $f$ in the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, one can obtain such a bound: There exists $\tilde{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \tilde{C}^{\prime \prime \prime} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|(f(x), R f(x))| d x
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Observe here that we take as our definition of the Hardy space

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): R f=\nabla I_{1} f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

though one has other possible definitions, for example, in terms of maximal functions [18] or via an atomic decomposition [14,26]. As L. Tartar has shown in [42] that the Riesz potential maps atoms into the Lorentz space $L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, one can thus improve ${ }^{2}$ the preceding inequality to the optimal target on the Lorentz scale.

Yet while the assumption that both $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $R f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is sufficient to obtain a bound on the potential of $f$ in the suitably scaling Lebesgue space, it is not

[^1]necessary, as been shown in recent work by the author, Armin Schikorra and Jean Van Schaftingen in [36], where the following inequality was proven: There exists a constant $C^{\prime \prime}=C^{\prime \prime}(\alpha, d)>0$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime \prime}\|R f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $R f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. A comparison with the result of L . Tartar [42] prompts one to wonder whether the inequality (1.6) can be strengthened on the Lorentz scale. Indeed it can, as one sees from the formulation of Theorem 1.1 as the inequality (1.3) that one has precisely such an improvement.

As was remarked in [36], one could already have deduced the inequality (1.6) from various embeddings in the literature which have been known for some time, e.g. [8, Lemma D.2], [13, Theorem 1.4], [24, Theorem 4], [28], [39, Theorem 2], [43, Theorem 8.3]). In fact, as was shown in [36], one can even replace the norm of $I_{\alpha} f$ in $L^{d /(d-\alpha)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ on the left-hand-side with its norm in $L^{d /(d-\alpha), r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for any $r>1$. However, the constant in the theorem then depends upon $r$ and is not stable as $r \rightarrow 1^{+}$, and so one cannot obtain the optimal Lorentz space embedding with this argument. Thus we can highlight the main achievements of Theorem 1.1: to obtain the second parameter $r=1$ in the Lorentz space, to do so without the assumption $f \in \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and to accomplish these two feats for $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Let us comment on these several facts here. First, let us notice that to retain $r=1$ is significant, since only for $r=1$ does one have the embedding

$$
I_{\alpha}: L^{d / \alpha, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

(and even the space of continuous functions) as for any $r>1$ one obtains an embedding into the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. Second, the assumptions on $F$ in our Theorem 1.1 do not imply the underlying function $f=\operatorname{div} I_{1} F \in \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. A simple way to observe this fact is the lack of validity of the inequality

$$
\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|R f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

even for smooth functions $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $R f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. It is easy to construct a counterexample to such an inequality, for example, the sequence $R f_{n}=\nabla u_{n}$, where $u_{n}=\rho_{n} * \chi_{B(0,1)}$ for $\rho_{n}$ a sequence of standard mollifiers. Then the right-hand-side remains bounded while

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|f_{n}(x)\right| d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|(-\Delta)^{1 / 2} u_{n}(x)\right| d x \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally regarding $\alpha \in(0,1)$ : Once one has established the validity of such an inequality for some $\alpha>0$, the result follows for all $\alpha^{\prime}>\alpha$ from a vector-valued analogue of (1.5). As the case $\alpha=1$ can be deduced as a consequence of the result of A. Alvino [1],
the range $\alpha \geq 1$ follows from the existing literature. In the sequel we therefore restrict our attention to the case $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

The idea of the proof is that while standard potential estimates are not sufficient to obtain an optimal exponent in the second parameter, the coarea formula allows for a sort of self-improvement through the estimate for characteristic functions. The use of the coarea formula and isoperimetric inequalities in the proof of Sobolev inequalities in this spirit is classical [16,27], while we here argue along the lines of a more recent work of V. Maz'ya [28] (see also [30] for the question of best constant in this related inequality). To understand what is gained by such a reduction, let us suppose that we try to prove (1.2) directly by our method, without assuming that one operates on characteristic functions.

First, by a pointwise interpolation inequality of V. Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova [31] one has the following estimate: For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that for each $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{\alpha} \nabla u(x)\right| \leq C(\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x))^{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(u)(x))^{\alpha} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by R. O'Neil's extension of Hölder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces [33], and moving to an equivalent quasi-norm in the Lorentz spaces (defined in terms of the distribution function, see below in Section 2), we can show one has the bound

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}|\|\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)\||_{L^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha}\left|\|\mathcal{M}(u) \mid\|_{L^{d /(d-1), \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}\right.
$$

Finally, by various weak and strong-type bounds of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on the Lorentz spaces one deduces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime \prime}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha} \mid\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-1), \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But as $\alpha<1$, the term $\|u\| \|_{L^{d /(d-1), \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}$ is too large to be absorbed into $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$ for general $u$ (the fact that this is possible when $\alpha=1$ is A. Alvino's result [1]).

By passing to a limit in a suitable manner, however, we can obtain an analogue of (1.8) for the characteristic function of a set of finite perimeter $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Here one finds that the equivalence of $\left\|\left\|\chi_{E}\right\|\right\|_{L^{d /(d-1), r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}$ with respect to $0<r \leq+\infty$, up to a constant that depends on $r$, allows one to regain the appropriate control of this term. In fact, introducing the nonlinear fractional differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we can actually prove a stronger result (and easier to argue, due to positivity of the operator), the following

Lemma 1.2. Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(\chi_{E}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C \operatorname{Per}(E)^{1-\alpha}|E|^{\alpha(1-1 / d)}
$$

for all sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ of finite perimeter.

As discussed in [36], Theorem 1.1 does not hold in the case $d=1$, and let us take this occasion to note where the assumption $d>1$ arises in the proof of Lemma 1.2. It is in the step where we use Hölder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces, where the exponents are $p=1 /(1-\alpha)$ and $q=d / \alpha(d-1)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{d /(d-\alpha)}=\frac{1}{1 /(1-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{d / \alpha(d-1)}
$$

In particular, in the case $d=1$ one has $q=+\infty$ and so one cannot pass to a weak-type estimate for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, instead requiring a strong-type estimate on $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, which is, of course, false.

Actually, by not invoking the isoperimetric inequality, our Lemma 1.2 enables one to obtain a more general result than the equivalence of isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities discussed in [28]. In particular, it implies the general interpolation inequality given in our

Theorem 1.3. Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} D u\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|D u|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{1-\alpha}\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}
$$

for all $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Here we write $D u$ for the distributional derivative of $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $|D u|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to denote the total variation of this Radon measure.

Of course, one can then deduce further results by making other variations on this theme, possibly also employing known interpolation inequalities. For example, as it answers a question raised in a previous work of the author and Tien-Tsan Shieh [37], we here use our result to extend the Hardy inequality proven in [37] for $u \geq 0$ to $u$ with arbitrary sign in

Theorem 1.4. Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)|}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right| d x
$$

for all $u \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $1 \leq q<\frac{d}{1-\alpha}$ such that $D^{\alpha} u=\nabla I_{1-\alpha} u \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Here $D^{\alpha} u$ is defined in the distributional sense,

$$
<D^{\alpha} u, \Phi>:=<I_{1-\alpha} u,-\operatorname{div} \Phi>=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{1-\alpha} u(x) \operatorname{div} \Phi(x) d x
$$

for all $\Phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the last equality following from the assumption $u \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $1 \leq q<\frac{d}{1-\alpha}$, and hence $I_{1-\alpha} u \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. As the result in [37] obtained the sharp constant for $u \geq 0$, it would be interesting to understand whether one can show that the same constant appearing there holds for unsigned $u$ (as in the case $\alpha=1$ ).

Let us make two further remarks here before moving to discuss dual results. First, our proof obtains a slightly stronger result (see Theorem 4.1 in Section 4): If $u \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (or even $B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ) then in fact $\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u) \in L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. One sees this is an improvement thanks to the easy inequality

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} d y\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y
$$

the left-hand-side being equal to $\left|I_{\alpha} D u\right|$, up to a multiplicative constant, in an appropriate sense. Second, when one views Theorem 1.1 as the inequality (1.2), then an interesting fact (which could already be deduced from known embeddings) is made apparent: While for $u \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ one has that

$$
I_{d} u(x):=\frac{2}{\pi^{d / 2} 2^{d} \Gamma(d / 2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y) \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d y
$$

is a function of bounded mean oscillation (see p. 417 in [23]), the assumption $\nabla u \in$ $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ implies

$$
I_{d} \nabla u(x)=\frac{2}{\pi^{d / 2} 2^{d} \Gamma(d / 2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \nabla u(y) \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d y
$$

is a bounded function. J. Van Schaftingen has shown such an estimate in two dimensions in [43], as well as a related estimate in several dimensions, which relies on the work of P. Mironescu [32]. This has been further explored for operators more general than the gradient by P. Bousquet and J. Van Schaftingen in [11] and B. Raiţă in [34].

Finally we discuss a dual result concerning the mapping properties of the Riesz potentials which follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. In general, one has

$$
I_{\alpha}: L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

for $B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. Thus, the duality of the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ implies that for any $g \in L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, there exists functions $\left\{Y_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{d} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
I_{\alpha} g=Y_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{d} R_{j} Y_{j}
$$

For the canonical example of a reasonably smooth element of $L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the Riesz kernel $I_{d-\alpha}$, one has, in a suitable sense,

$$
I_{\alpha} I_{d-\alpha}(x)=\frac{2}{\pi^{d / 2} 2^{d} \Gamma(d / 2)} \log |x|=\sum_{j=1}^{d} R_{j} Y_{j}
$$

for $Y_{j}=\frac{1}{(d-1) \gamma(d-1)} \frac{x_{j}}{|x|}$ (see, for example, [19]). One might suppose this is because of some benefit gained by the smoothness. In fact, such a decomposition holds in general for elements in this space, that one does not need the $Y_{0}$ :

Corollary 1.5. Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that for every $g \in L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, there exists functions $\left\{Y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{d} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
I_{\alpha} g=\sum_{j=1}^{d} R_{j} Y_{j}
$$

with

$$
\|Y\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Results of this type have been pioneered by J. Bourgain and H. Brezis [4-7], and then subsequently studied by a number of authors (see, for example [25], [9], [12], [10]) in a far greater generality than we represent here.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some background material on functions of bounded variation and on the Lorentz spaces. For the former we recall some definitions, as well as the coarea formula. For the latter we record useful versions of Hölder's and Young's inequalities one has on this scale. In Section 3 we give proofs of several lemmas that are useful in obtaining our result. In Section 4 we prove Lemma 1.2 and another intermediate result given in Theorem 4.1 before proceeding to prove Theorems 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, and Corollary 1.5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In the introduction we have defined the Riesz potential with a normalization constant $\gamma$. We here recall that its value (see, e.g. [40]):

$$
\gamma(\alpha):=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} 2^{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\alpha}{2}\right)}
$$

Let us now recall some results concerning the Lorentz spaces $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. We follow the convention of R. O'Neil in [33]. We begin with some definitions related to the nonincreasing rearrangement of a function.

Definition 2.1. For $f$ a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we define

$$
m(f, y):=|\{|f|>y\}|
$$

As this is a non-increasing function of $y$, it admits a left-continuous inverse, called the non-negative rearrangement of $f$, and which we denote $f^{*}(x)$. Further, for $x>0$ we define

$$
f^{* *}(x):=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f^{*}(t) d t
$$

With these basic results, we can now give a definition of the Lorentz spaces $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Definition 2.2. Let $1<q<+\infty$ and $1 \leq r<+\infty$. We define

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}:=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[t^{1 / q} f^{* *}(t)\right]^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r}
$$

and for $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$ and $r=+\infty$

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}:=\sup _{t>0} t^{1 / q} f^{* *}(t)
$$

For these Banach spaces, one has a duality between $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $L^{q^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $1<$ $q<+\infty$ and $1 \leq r<+\infty$ (see, for example, Theorem 1.4.17 on p. 52 of [20]). In particular, the Hahn-Banach theorem implies that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\sup \left\{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f g d x\right|: g \in L^{q^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\|g\|_{L^{q^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 1\right\}
$$

Let us observe that with this definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & =\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
\|f\|_{L^{\infty, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & =\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the spaces $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ are intended in the usual sense. The former equation is not standard, as $L^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ has another possible definition, which is only possible through the introduction of a different object. In particular, for $1<q<+\infty$, one has
a quasi-norm on the Lorentz spaces $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ that is equivalent to the norm we have defined. What is more, this quasi-norm can be used to define the Lorentz spaces without such restrictions on $q$ and $r$. Therefore let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Let $1 \leq q<+\infty$. If $0<r<+\infty$ we define

$$
\left\|\left||f| \|_{\tilde{L}^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}:=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t^{1 / q} f^{*}(t)\right)^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r}\right.\right.
$$

while if $r=+\infty$ we define

$$
\left\|\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{q, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}:=\sup _{t>0} t^{1 / q} f^{*}(t) .\right.
$$

Then one has the following result on the equivalence of the quasi-norm on $\tilde{L}^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and the norm on $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (and so in the sequel we drop the tilde):

Proposition 2.4. Let $1<q<+\infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq+\infty$. Then

$$
\|\mid f\|_{\tilde{L}^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq q^{\prime}\| \| f \|_{\tilde{L}^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

The proof for $1 \leq r<+\infty$ can be seen by an application of Lemma 2.2 in [33], while the case $r=+\infty$ is an exercise in calculus (see also [22], equation (2.2) on p. 258).

It will be useful for our purposes to observe an alternative formulation of this equivalent quasi-norm in terms of the distribution function. In particular, Proposition 1.4.9 in [20] implies the following.

Proposition 2.5. Let $1 \leq q<+\infty$. If $0<r<+\infty$, then

$$
\left\|\|f\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \equiv q^{1 / r}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t|\{|f|>t\}|^{1 / q}\right)^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r}\right.
$$

while if $r=+\infty$

$$
\left\|\|f\|_{L^{q, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \equiv \sup _{t>0} t|\{|f|>t\}|^{1 / q}\right.
$$

With either definition one can check the following scaling property that will be useful for our purposes (cf. Remark 1.4.7 in [20]):

$$
\left\|\left\||f|^{\gamma}\right\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\right\|\left|\mid f \|_{L^{\gamma q, \gamma r}}^{\gamma} \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{.} .
$$

With these definitions, we are now prepared to state Hölder's and Young's inequality on the Lorentz scale. In particular on this scale one has a version of Hölder's inequality (Theorem 3.4 in [33]):

Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in L^{q_{1}, r_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $g \in L^{q_{2}, r_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1}{q}<1 \\
& \frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}} \geq \frac{1}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $r \geq 1$. Then

$$
\|f g\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq q^{\prime}\|f\|_{L^{q_{1}, r_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|g\|_{L^{q_{2}, r_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

We also have the following very useful generalization of Young's inequality (Theorem 3.1 in [33]):

Theorem 2.7. Let $f \in L^{q_{1}, r_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $g \in L^{q_{2}, r_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and suppose $1<q<+\infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq+\infty$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}-1 & =\frac{1}{q} \\
\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}} & \geq \frac{1}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\|f * g\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 3 q\|f\|_{L^{q_{1}, r_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|g\|_{L^{q_{2}, r_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Here we utilize certain estimates for functions of bounded variation and sets of finite perimeter. Let us here recall their definitions and some properties concerning them. We define the space of functions of bounded variation as

$$
B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=\left\{u \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): \sup _{\Phi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u \operatorname{div} \Phi d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all

$$
\left\{\Phi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 1\right\}
$$

This definition implies the distributional derivative of $u$, which we denote by $D u$, is a Radon measure with finite total variation:

$$
|D u|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} d|D u|<+\infty
$$

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ has finite perimeter if $|E|<+\infty$ and $\chi_{E} \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In particular, this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Per}(E) & :=\left|D \chi_{E}\right|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \chi_{E} \operatorname{div} \Phi d x\right|: \Phi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 1\right\}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

For these functions, one has the product rule (see, for example, [2], p. 118, Proposition 3.2):

Proposition 2.8. Suppose $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then

$$
D(u \varphi)=D u \varphi+u \nabla \varphi \mathcal{L}^{d} .
$$

One also has the coarea formula, whose proof can be found in [2], p. 144:
Proposition 2.9. For $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the set $\{u>t\}$ has finite perimeter for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D u|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|D \chi_{\{u>t\}}\right|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) d t \\
D u\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D \chi_{\{u>t\}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also utilize some estimates and inequalities that involve the (centered) HardyLittlewood maximal function. Here we recall its definition, which for a non-negative Radon measure $\mu$, is given by

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mu)(x):=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \frac{\int}{\overline{B(x, r)}} d \mu .
$$

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function enjoys several boundedness results that we employ here. In particular, we require the standard weak-type estimate:

Theorem 2.10. There exists a constant $C=C(d)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \mathcal{M}(\mu)(x)>t\right\}\right| \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} d \mu
$$

for all $t>0$ and all non-negative Radon measures $\mu$.

The proof follows the standard one for functions in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, see for example [40], p. 6. In the introduction we asserted that one has the following bound for the HardyLittlewood maximal function in the Lorentz spaces (see Grafakos [20], p. 56, Theorem 1.4.19):

Theorem 2.11. Let $1<q<+\infty$ and $0<r<+\infty$. There exists a constant $C=$ $C(r, q, d)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left|\mathcal { M } ( f ) \left\|\left\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left|\|f \mid\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

for all $f \in L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

## 3. Several lemmas

In this section we present the details of several estimates that we utilize in the proof of our main results. The first is the following non-standard estimate for the HardyLittlewood maximal function, which is a variant of the bound on a Lorentz space $L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $1<q<+\infty$ and $r<1$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $1<q<+\infty$ and $0<r<+\infty$. There exists a constant $C=$ $C(r, q, d)>0$ such that

$$
\|\|\mathcal{M}(f)\|\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-1 / q}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1 / q}
$$

for every $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Proof. From the definition we have

$$
\||\mathcal{M}(f)|\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=q^{1 / r}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t|\{\mathcal{M}(f)>t\}|^{1 / q}\right)^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r}
$$

As the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function satisfies the pointwise $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ bound

$$
\mathcal{M}(f) \leq\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{1 / r}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t|\{\mathcal{M}(f)>t\}|^{1 / q}\right)^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \quad=q^{1 / r}\left(\int_{0}^{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}}\left(t|\{\mathcal{M}(f)>t\}|^{1 / q}\right)^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then as the standard weak-type estimate stated in Theorem 2.10 asserts

$$
|\{\mathcal{M}(f)>t\}| \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\||\mathcal{M}(f)|\|_{L^{q, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq q^{1 / r}\left(\int_{0}^{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}}\left(t\left(\frac{C}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|\right)^{1 / q}\right)^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =C^{1 / q} q^{1 / r}\left(\int_{0}^{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}} t^{r(1-1 / q)-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|\right)^{r / q} d t\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =\frac{C^{1 / q} q^{1 / r}}{(r(1-1 / q))^{1 / r}}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-1 / q}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

A key component of our argument is the following pointwise interpolation inequality for smooth functions, which in the $W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ case has been asserted in the paper of V . Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova [31]:

Lemma 3.2. Let $\alpha \in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y \leq C(\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x))^{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(u)(x))^{\alpha}
$$

for every $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
We give a proof here for completeness and convenience of the reader.

Proof. We split the integral into two pieces

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y=\int_{B(x, r)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y+\int_{B(x, r)^{c}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y=: I+I I .
$$

Now, for $I$ we let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(B(x, 2 r))$ be a cutoff function such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B(x, r)$ and $\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(B(x, 2 r))} \leq \frac{C}{r}$. Then by Hardy's inequality ([29], Equation 1.3.3) and the assumptions on the support of $\varphi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{B(x, r)} \frac{|(\varphi u)(x)-(\varphi u)(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|(\varphi u)(x)-(\varphi u)(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|\nabla(\varphi u)(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y \\
& =C_{1} \int_{B(x, 2 r)} \frac{|\nabla(\varphi u)(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

However, now the Leibniz rule, the $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ bound on the derivative of $\varphi$, and the fact that $\nabla \varphi=0$ in $B(x, r)$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \leq C_{1} \int_{B(x, 2 r)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y+C_{1} \int_{B(x, 2 r)} \frac{|\nabla \varphi(y)||u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{B(x, 2 r)} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y+\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{r} \int_{B(x, 2 r) \backslash B(x, r)} \frac{|u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y \\
& =: I I I+I V .
\end{aligned}
$$

Concerning $I I I$, we apply Theorem 2 of [40] to deduce

$$
I I I \leq C_{1}\left\|\frac{\chi_{B(0,2 r)}}{|\cdot|^{d-\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x),
$$

which after an evaluation of the integral leads to the estimate

$$
I I I \leq C_{2} r^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x)
$$

For $I V$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I V & =\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{r} \int_{B(x, 2 r) \backslash B(x, r)} \frac{|u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq \frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{r^{1-\alpha}}|B(0,1)| 2^{d} \int_{B(x, 2 r)}^{f}|u(y)| d y \\
& \leq C_{3} r^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}(u)(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows

$$
I \leq C_{2} r^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x)+C_{3} r^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}(u)(x)
$$

Finally, we return to $I I$ and again apply Theorem 2 of [40] to estimate

$$
I I \leq\left\|\frac{\chi_{B(0, r)^{c}}}{|\cdot|^{d+1-\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mathcal{M}(u-u(x))(x)
$$

In particular, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & \leq C_{4} r^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}(u-u(x))(x) \\
& \leq 2 C_{4} r^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{M}(u)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows from optimizing in $r$, for example with the choice

$$
r=\frac{\mathcal{M}(u)(x)}{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x)}
$$

## 4. Proofs of the main results

We begin with the proof of Lemma 1.2.
Proof of Lemma 1.2. Let us begin by observing that by Lemma 3.2 for $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap$ $W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq C(\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(x))^{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(u)(x))^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we find

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left\|(\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|)(\cdot))^{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(u)(\cdot))^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

which in turn by Hölder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces (Theorem 2.6 from Section 2) implies

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq\left\|C(\mathcal{M}(|\nabla u|))^{1-\alpha}\right\|_{L^{1 /(1-\alpha), \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{M}(u)^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{d / \alpha(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
$$

as one checks that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\frac{d}{d-\alpha}}=\frac{d-\alpha}{d} & =1-\alpha+\alpha-\frac{\alpha}{d} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\alpha}+\frac{1}{\frac{d}{\alpha(d-1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note here it is crucial that $d>1$. Next we estimate this from above with the equivalent norm from Section 2 to observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{C d}{\alpha(d(1-\alpha)+\alpha))}\left|\left\|\mathcal { M } ( | \nabla u | ) ^ { 1 - \alpha } \left|\left\|_{L^{1 /(1-\alpha), \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \mid\right\| \mathcal{M}(u)^{\alpha}\| \|_{L^{d / \alpha(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the scaling properties of the Lorentz spaces (see Section 2), which one has with this equivalent norm, imply

Now, the weak-type estimate for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function recorded in Theorem 2.10 and the strong-type estimate on the Lorentz space $L^{d /(d-1), \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ proven in Theorem 3.1 (and here note that $\alpha<1$ !) implies

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\nabla u| d x\right)^{1-\alpha}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha / d}\|u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha(1-1 / d)}
$$

Now for a set of finite perimeter $E$, define $u_{n}:=\chi_{E} * \rho_{n}$ for a sequence of standard mollifiers $\rho_{n}$. Then as $u_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the preceding argument implies

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d x\right)^{1-\alpha}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha / d}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha(1-1 / d)}
$$

We now observe that, up to a subsequence, one has the bound and convergences
a. $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 1$,
b. $u_{n} \rightarrow \chi_{E}$ strongly in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,
c. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| \rightarrow \operatorname{Per}(E)$,
d. $u_{n} \rightarrow \chi_{E}$ pointwise almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,
and thus Fatou's lemma implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(\chi_{E}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \leq C^{\prime}(\operatorname{Per}(E))^{1-\alpha}|E|^{\alpha(1-1 / d)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the thesis.

We next prove the following theorem, which is the stronger result referred to in the introduction.

Theorem 4.1. Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|D u|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{1-\alpha}\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}
$$

for all $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Proof. We claim that it suffices to prove the inequality for $u \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), u \geq 0$. To see this, suppose we have proven the inequality for such $u$. Then utilizing the usual decomposition of a function by its positive and negative parts, $u=u^{+}-u^{-}$, we have $\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u) \leq \mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{+}\right)+\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{-}\right)$. In particular the claimed inequality and the triangle inequality would then imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{+}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{-}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& +C\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But then one deduces the result for any $u \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, up to a slightly larger constant, by the observations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla u^{+}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
\left\|\nabla u^{-}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, once we have established the result for $u \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the result for $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ follows by density in the strict topology, and using a pointwise convergence and Fatou's lemma to pass the limit for the left-hand-side.

Therefore we restrict our consideration to the case $u \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), u \geq 0$. Let $E_{t}$ denote the set $\{u>t\}$. Then we can express

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{E_{t}}(x)-\chi_{E_{t}}(y) d t\right|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\left|\chi_{E_{t}}(x)-\chi_{E_{t}}(y)\right|}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} d y d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(\chi_{E_{t}}\right)(x) d t
$$

With this inequality noted, first an application of Minkowski's inequality for integrals and then an application of Lemma 1.2 yields the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(\chi_{E_{t}}\right) d t\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(\chi_{E_{t}}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} C^{\prime} \operatorname{Per}\left(E_{t}\right)^{1-\alpha}\left|E_{t}\right|^{\alpha(1-1 / d)} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

But now Hölder's inequality for the integral in $t$ with exponents

$$
\frac{1}{1 /(1-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{1 / \alpha}=1
$$

leads us to conclude

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}(u)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Per}\left(E_{t}\right) d t\right)^{1-\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|E_{t}\right|^{1-1 / d} d t\right)^{\alpha}
$$

Finally, by the coarea formula and the formulation of the Lorentz space given in Proposition 2.5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Per}\left(E_{t}\right) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\nabla u| \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|E_{t}\right|^{1-1 / d} d t=\frac{d-1}{d}\left|\|u \mid\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the desired result.

We next prove Theorem 1.3, which follows easily from Theorem 4.1 and can then be used to deduce Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and by a standard approximation argument we may find $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ that converges strictly to $u$. For such $u_{n}$ we may integrate by parts to obtain

$$
\left|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}(x)\right|=\frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha)}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\nabla u_{n}(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} d y\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{d-\alpha}{\gamma(\alpha)}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)}{|x-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{d-\alpha}{\gamma(\alpha)} \mathcal{D}^{1-\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality and Theorem 4.1 thus imply

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}
$$

and since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \rightarrow|D u|\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \\
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \rightarrow\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to show the inequality

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} D u\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} C\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

However, for any $j=1 \ldots d$ and any $\varphi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\|\varphi\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 1$ we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} \varphi\right| \leq\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

We will manipulate the left-hand-side to a suitable form to pass the limit in this inequality. First, an application of Fubini's theorem yields the equality

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} \varphi\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} I_{\alpha} \varphi\right| .
$$

Next the fact that $\varphi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ implies that $I_{\alpha} \varphi \in C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and so the weak convergence $\nabla u_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} D u$ yields

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} I_{\alpha} \varphi=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{\alpha} \varphi d(D u)_{j} .
$$

Then another application of Fubini's theorem yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{\alpha} \varphi d(D u)_{j}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi I_{\alpha}(D u)_{j} .
$$

Putting these several steps together we see that for any $j=1 \ldots d$ we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi I_{\alpha}(D u)_{j}\right| \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

We now utilize the density of $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to recover the norm in $L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ :

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha}(D u)_{j}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\sup _{\varphi \in C_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\|\varphi\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq 1 \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}} I_{\alpha}(D u)_{j} \varphi .
$$

Thus we have shown

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha}(D u)_{j}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha}\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha}
$$

for all $u \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and the claim follows by summing the components $(D u)_{j}$ and using the equivalence of norms in finite dimensions.

We now prove Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 1 of [3], the conditions $F \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and curl $F=0$ in the sense of distributions imply that we may find a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow F$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The inequality proven in Theorem 1.3 implies

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{1-\alpha}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-1), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{\alpha},
$$

which combined with A. Alvino's Lorentz space inequality [1] yields

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} \nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Finally, the convergence $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow F$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is sufficient to pass the limit on the right-hand-side, while for the left-hand-side we may repeat the argument at the end of Theorem 1.3 utilizing Fubini's theorem and the weak convergence to conclude the desired result.

We next prove Theorem 1.4.
Proof. We first prove an analogue of E. Gagliardo and L. Nirenberg's inequality between a function and its (fractional) gradient, from which we can easily deduce the desired result. Thus, let $u \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $1 \leq q<\frac{d}{1-\alpha}$ be such that $D^{\alpha} u=\nabla I_{1-\alpha} u \in$ $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then according to the assumption $D^{\alpha} u \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and the distributional definition of $D^{\alpha} u$, we have that curl $D^{\alpha} u=0$ in the sense of distributions. Therefore by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Replacing $u$ by $u * \rho_{n}$, for $\rho_{n}$ a sequence of standard mollifiers we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|I_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}\left(u * \rho_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq C^{\prime}\left\|\left(D^{\alpha} u\right) * \rho_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \leq C^{\prime}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact (which one can check via the distributional calculus) that

$$
D^{\alpha}\left(u * \rho_{n}\right)=\left(D^{\alpha} u\right) * \rho_{n}
$$

and that mollification is a contraction on $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
The assumption $u \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ implies that $u * \rho_{n}$ is smooth and is an element of $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In particular, the manipulation

$$
I_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}\left(u * \rho_{n}\right)=\nabla I_{1}\left(u * \rho_{n}\right)=R\left(u * \rho_{n}\right)
$$

is justified, which combined with the boundedness of

$$
R_{j}: L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

yields the inequality

$$
\left\|u * \rho_{n}\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

As $n$ tends to infinity, the preceding inequality, the pointwise convergence $u * \rho_{n} \rightarrow u$ Lebesgue almost everywhere, and Fatou's lemma imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired inequality.
Finally, the claimed Hardy inequality follows easily from Hölder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces, as

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x)|}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \leq\|u\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\left\|\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C^{\prime \prime}
$$

We conclude with a proof of the dual result claimed in the introduction.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let us recall the definition of the Hardy space

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), R f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

For elements of this space we introduce the norm

$$
\|f\|_{X}:=\|R f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

The completion of this set with respect to all the Cauchy sequences in this norm gives rise to

$$
X:=\overline{\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}{ }^{\|\cdot\| x},
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset X
$$

By the duality of $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we have

$$
X^{\prime} \subset B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

while the embedding of $X$ as a closed subset of the $d$-fold product

$$
L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

enables us to identify $X^{\prime}$ with

$$
X^{\prime}=\left\{g \in B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): g=\sum_{j=1}^{d} R_{j} Y_{j} \text { for some }\left\{Y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{d} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|g\|_{X^{\prime}}=\inf \left\{\||Y|\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}: g=\sum_{j=1}^{d} R_{j} Y_{j} \text { for some }\left\{Y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{d} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

Thus it suffices to show the estimate

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} g\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

However this follows directly by the standard duality argument. In particular, we have

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} g\right\|_{X^{\prime}}=\sup _{f} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{\alpha} g f d x
$$

where the supremum can be taken over all functions $f \in \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\|f\|_{X} \leq 1$. However, now the fact that the Riesz potential is self-adjoint and the introduction of the Riesz transforms $R$ yields the equality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{\alpha} g f d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R g \cdot I_{\alpha} R f d x
$$

But curl $R f=0$, and thus Theorem 1.1, along with the boundedness of the Riesz transforms on $L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ yields the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R g \cdot I_{\alpha} R f d x\right| & \leq\left\|I_{\alpha} R f\right\|_{L^{d /(d-\alpha), 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|R g\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \leq C\|R f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\|g\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& =C\|f\|_{X}\|g\|_{L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that for $g \in L^{d / \alpha, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), I_{\alpha} g \in X^{\prime}$ with the desired norm bound.
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