Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect



Serotonergic modulation of cognitive computations Kenji Doya, Kayoko W Miyazaki and Katsuhiko Miyazaki



Serotonin is a neuromodulator that is implicated in awakesleep cycle, motor behaviors, reward, motivation, and mood. Recent molecular tools for cell-type-specific activity recording and manipulation with fine temporal and spatial resolutions are providing unprecedentedly detailed data about serotonergic neuromodulation. These newly gained information show substantial differences in the signaling and effect of serotonergic neuromodulation depending on the projection targets. To find the common denominator for this diversity, we conjecture that the evolution of serotonergic neuromodulation originates from signaling the time and resource available for action, learning, and development.

Address

Neural Computation Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, 1919-1 Tancha, Onna, Okinawa, 904-0495, Japan

Corresponding author: Doya, Kenji (doya@oist.jp)

Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 2021, 38:116-123

This review comes from a themed issue on $\ensuremath{\textbf{Computational cognitive}}$ $\ensuremath{\textbf{neuroscience}}$

Edited by Angela J Langdon and Geoffrey Schoenbaum

For a complete overview see the Issue and the Editorial

Available online 11th March 2021

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2021.02.003

2352-1546/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Neuromodulators¹ are a subset of neurotransmitters that release diffusely and produce various effects not limited to excitation or inhibition in various time scales [1,2]. Among the four major neuromodulators (dopamine, serotonin, noradrenaline, and acetylcholine), serotonin remains the most enigmatic. While many psychiatric drugs act on serotonin signaling and metabolism, serotonin's exact role in cognitive functions has been unclear. This is a clear contrast with dopamine, which has been shown to signal reward prediction errors [3,4].

Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT) is considered one of the most ancient signaling molecules in the history of life [5]. Serotonin is synthesized from the essential amino acid tryptophan and the majority is used outside of the brain for regulating blood pressure and gut movement. There are seven major types of serotonin receptors and all except 5-HT₃ type are G-protein-coupled receptors that affect intracellular signaling pathways. The major source of serotonergic projections in the brain are the raphe nuclei located on the midline of the brain stem, although those nuclei also include GABAergic, glutamatergic, and dopaminergic neurons. Different raphe nuclei project to different targets: downward to the spinal cord and upward to the entire forebrain (Figure 1). The wide-spread projection and the variety of receptors pose major hurdles in clarifying serotonergic functions via conventional methods like pharmacological or genetic manipulations. Recently, however, the development of molecular tools for cell-type-specific optogenetic manipulation [6] and activity recording [7] has enabled fine-grained analysis of serotonergic functions (Figure 2). Here we review such recent advances and sketch out a new picture of what serotonin does for our cognition and behaviors.

Recent discoveries by control and measurement of serotonin signaling Optogenetics

Optogenetics are methods to activate or inhibit a particular type of cells by expressing light-sensitive molecules, such as channel rhodopsin (ChR) for activation and halorhodopsin (NpHR) or archaerhodopsin (Arch) for inhibition, in transgenic animals or by virus infection [6]. Optogenetics allow cell-type-specific manipulation of neurons in a local circuit with high temporal specificity [6] (Figure 2a, b), which is difficult to do via conventional methods like electric stimulation or pharmacological manipulation. For selective manipulation of serotonin neurons, promoters like Pet1, Tph2, and SERT are commonly used. Here we review different behavioral effects of serotonin manipulation by optogenetics.

Reward and punishment

Classically, serotonin has been implicated in punishment, negative mood, and behavioral inhibition [8,9]. Consistent with this view, optogenetic stimulation of serotonin neurons in the median raphe nucleus (MRN) enhanced anxiety-like behavior in the elevated-plus maze and the effect was expressed via the dorsal hippocampus [10,11].

In contrast, Liu *et al.* reported that optogenetic activation of serotonin neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) using the Pet1-Cre mice induced place preference [12]. With Pet1 as promoter, ChR2 was expressed not only in serotonergic neurons but also in glutamatergic neurons in

¹ Quite confusingly, the word 'neuromodulation' is recently used also for engineering approaches to affect neural functions, such as electric stimulation, in some research communities, for example, https://www. neuromodulation.com/.





Serotonergic projections from the dorsal raphe nucleus (blue) and the median raphe nucleus (red). From the Allen Brain Connectivity Atlas (http:// connectivity.brain-map.org).

DRN, and the reinforcing effect was through glutamatergic projection to the dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [13,14]. Most recently, the reinforcing effect of DRN serotonin neuron was also observed by using Tph2 and SERT promoters, which are highly selective to serotonin neurons [15,16]. However, this reinforcing effect was observed to be through DRN serotonin neurons that co-release glutamate in VTA.

Recently, Iigaya *et al.* found in a probabilistic foraging task that mice often employed the win-stay-lose-switch strategy but, following long inter-trial intervals (ITIs), their choices adhered to standard reinforcement learning that take into account longer past action and reward sequences. Interestingly, DRN serotonin neuron stimulation enhanced the learning rate selectively after trials following long ITIs [17].

Patience

Another dimension where serotonin has been implicated is patience for delayed reward [18–20]. Optogenetic activation of serotonergic neurons in the DRN in Tph2-tTA:: tetO-ChR2(C128S) transgenic mice prolonged the nosepoking time when the mice waited for future rewards but not for spontaneous nose-poking, indicating that the prolonged nose-poking time was not induced by reinforcement [21]. A more recent study also confirmed that waiting for delayed rewards was enhanced by optogenetic activation of DRN serotonin neurons in SERT-Cre mice [22]. Their results also showed serotonin neurons





Methods for cell-type-specific neural activity manipulation and monitoring. The blue lightning symbols show stimulating light from the optical fiber, and green lightning symbols show fluorescent light from neurons or axon terminals. (a) Optogenetic stimulation of cell bodies. (b) Optogenetic stimulation of axon terminals. (c) Electrode recording by photo-tagging. (d) Fiber photometry of cell bodies. (e) Fiber photometry of axon terminals. (f) Individual neural activity imaging by micro-endoscopy. (g) Fiber photometry of local neurotransmitter release by fluorescent biosensors, which can be expressed not necessarily in post-synaptic cells.

stimulation did not induce any reinforcing effect in both conditioned place preference and real-time place preference tests. In an intertemporal choice task, optogenetic inhibition of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons at the decision point promoted impulsive choice, whereas optogenetic activation had the opposite effect [23]. Activation of DRN serotonergic neurons also increased active coping with inescapable stress in rats and mice in a time-locked manner [24].

Our recent experiments with the waiting task showed that the enhancement of reward waiting by DRN serotonin neuron stimulation is context-dependent; the effect is maximal when the probability of reward delivery is high but the timing of delivery is uncertain [25]. Optogenetic axon terminal stimulation further revealed different effects of serotonin in different projection target areas [26**]. Intriguingly, stimulation of serotonergic terminals in the nucleus accumbens had no effect in our waiting task, while it promoted the choice of larger later reward in the intertemporal choice task [23]. Stimulation of serotonergic terminals in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) was nearly as effective as the DRN stimulation, whereas stimulation in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) extended waiting only when the reward timing was uncertain. These effects were hard to capture by simple reinforcement learning considering average discounted reward. Thus we proposed a Bayesian decision scheme in which mice had an internal model of reward delivery timing and reproduced the experimental results by assuming that DRN serotonin stimulation causes overestimation of the prior probability of reward delivery, or confidence in reward acquisition [25,26^{••}].

Motor behavior

The above studies on patience also showed that prolonged waiting was not due to simple behavioral inhibition, as locomotion speed while the mice headed to the food site was not affected [21]. However, acute activation of DRN serotonin neurons did suppress spontaneous locomotion, while after repeated stimulations over three weeks, spontaneous locomotion was persistently increased [27]. Activation of DRN serotonin neurons increased the number of nose-poking behaviors in a probabilistic foraging task [28[•]].

Recently, Yoshida *et al.* showed that ventral hippocampus neurons were suppressed and MRN serotonin neurons were activated when mice were engaged in reward-seeking or punishment-avoiding lever press actions [29^{••}]. They further showed that by optogenetic activation of MRN serotonin neurons, ventral hippocampus pyramidal neurons were suppressed via 5-HT_{3A} receptors expressed in inhibitory neurons.

Social behavior

In autistic model mice with a copy number variation on chromosome 16p11.2, DRN neuron activity was found to be reduced and optogenetic stimulation of DRN serotonin neurons increased social interactions through neuron projection to the nucleus accumbens [30].

Photo-tagging

Classically, putative serotonin neurons were identified by broad spikes, slow regular firing, and suppression by 5- HT_{1A} receptor antagonist [19]. However, it has been difficult to precisely identify serotonergic neurons using these criteria [31]. Response diversity in the DRN may reflect non-selective recording of both serotonin and nonserotonin neurons. By combining optogenetic stimulation of serotonergic neurons and micro electrode recording with a criterion of strong, short latency excitation, it is possible to dissociate serotonin neurons and non-serotonin neurons [32]. Using this photo-tagging method, various responses of serotonergic neurons have been reported, such as conditioned cues [12,32], reward waiting [33[•]], reward delivery [32,33[•]], punishment [32], and average reward rate [32].

Fiber photometry and micro-endoscopic imaging

Genetically encoded calcium indicator (GECI), such as the GCaMP, changes its fluorescence in response to calcium influx to the cell. Fiber photometry using GECI enables monitoring the activity of genetically defined subpopulations of neurons by implanting an optic fiber in freely moving animals. The first report of fiber photometry of DRN serotonin neural activity found that rewards including sucrose, food, sex, and social interaction rapidly activate serotonin neurons, but aversive stimuli including quinine and footshock do not [33[•]]. They also reported in Pavlovian conditioning that serotonin neurons gradually developed a slow ramp-up response to the reward-predicting cue, and remained responsive to the reward [34]. Matias *et al.* reported that 5-HT neuron activity in the DRN encoded both positive and negative reward prediction errors in reversal learning [35]. Interestingly, this negative prediction error response was not observed in Zhong's study when predicted reward was omitted. Yoshida *et al.* reported that MRN but not DRN serotonin neurons exhibited sustained increased activity during sustained lever pressing for rewards [29^{••}].

While fiber photometry enables the measurement of average neural activities in the local circuit, recent developments in micro-endoscopic imaging allows the monitoring of individual neuron activities from deep brain structures like the dorsal raphe nucleus. Seo *et al.* showed that dorsal raphe serotonin neurons are activated at movement onset during tail suspension test, whereas they reduced activity during locomotion onset in open field test [36^{••}]. Similar activity changes were observed also by fiber photometry, and optogenetic stimulation of DRN serotonin neurons increased movement in tail suspension test and reduced locomotion in open filed test. These results show the causal role of DRN serotonin in promoting or suppressing movements in a context-dependent manner.

GPCR-activated biosensors

The conventional methods to measure extracellular serotonin concentration are microdialysis [37], which has limited time resolution, and fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) [38], in which selectivity has been a technical challenge. Recently, genetically encoded fluorescent sensors based on G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) are developed for different neuromodulators [39^{••},40] such as dopamine [41,42], acetylcholine [43], noradrenaline [44], and serotonin [45]. Wan *et al.* developed GPCR-activation-based 5-HT (GRAB_{5-HT}) sensors based on the 5-HT2C receptor [45]. They performed fiber photometry in the basal forebrain and found robust increase in baseline activity with bursts and dips during wakefulness, low baseline but rhythmic activity in non-REM sleep, and quiescence in REM sleep.

Fine grain anatomy

Cell-type-specific and pathway-specific gene expression methods have remarkably advanced our knowledge of the serotonergic circuit. Watabe-Uchida and colleagues used the rabies-virus-based tracing method to analyze the mono-synaptic inputs to serotonergic neurons in the dorsal raphe (DR) and median raphe (MR) nuclei and compared them with the inputs to dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [46,47]. There was substantial overlap in the input distributions to DR and MR and both received strong input from the hypothalamus. While DR received stronger inputs from the basal ganglia, amygdala, and the dopaminergic nuclei SNc and VTA, MR received stronger inputs from the hippocampus, the habenula, and midbrain nuclei, such as interpeduncular nucleus and pontine reticular nucleus. Both SNc and VTA received stronger inputs from DR than from MR. These differences suggest serotonin neurons in DR and MR play different roles in response to rewarding and aversive situations.

Ren et al. analyzed the output projection from DR by combining retrograde tracing from cortical and subcortical areas and selective staining of serotonergic and glutamatergic neurons in DR [48^{••}]. While serotonergic neurons projecting to cortical areas like orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and entorhinal cortex are located in the ventral portion of DR, those projecting to subcortical areas like the central amygdala (CeA) and lateral habenula are located in the dorsal portion of DR. While most cortical projecting serotonergic neurons co-express glutamates, only a minority of subcortical projecting serotonergic neurons coexpress glutamates. Pathway-specific fiber photometry revealed that both OFC and CeA projecting serotonin neurons responded positively to reward. Interestingly, while OFC projecting serotonin neurons responded negatively to aversive stimulus, CeA projecting serotonin neurons responded positively. Furthermore, chemogenetic activation of CeA projecting serotonergic neurons increased anxiety in open field and plus maze tasks.

These recent observations by pathway and cell-typespecific anatomy and physiology show that the serotonergic system is by no means uniform, with different messages and effects depending on the projection targets.

Updated theory of serotonin

Given the diversity of the inputs, outputs, activities, and effects of serotonergic neurons, how can we present any coherent computational model of serotonergic signaling? Previous theories include punishment prediction as the opponent of dopamine [8], behavioral inhibition [49^{••}], temporal discounting in reinforcement learning [18], and shortcut in model-based search [50]. An evolutionary viewpoint is vital in exploring the overreaching feature of serotonergic signaling [51]. Tryptophan, the precursor of serotonin, is unique among the 20 amino acids composing proteins in that it is the largest, most hydrophobic, and least used amino acid with only one codon. An important feature of tryptophan is that it absolves blue light to emit an electron, which is used for photosynthesis in chlorophyll and light detection in rhodopsin (Figure 3). The availability and release of serotonin are also affected by light, making serotonin levels higher during the day than at night and in summer than in winter. Light therapy is a common treatment for seasonal depressive symptoms [52], through retinal-raphe pathway or increased production of serotonin by skin exposure to light [53]. Both in plants and animals, the availability of light is a major factor for the modulation of behaviors.



Tryptophan and its derivatives for light processing and neuromodulation [51].

Figure 3

As a common denominator of the diverse effects of serotonin, we propose a hypothesis that serotonin signals the availability of time and resources. Table 1 summarizes the expected modulation of behavioral parameters by serotonin. For both development and metabolism, serotonin is known to have facilitatory effects. In the reinforcement learning paradigm, availability of time enables gradual learning, more exploration, and long-term prediction. Little available time may promote quick actions and risky choices. In the model-based paradigm, more time for computation favors model-based strategy, with wider and deeper search, and more time spent for collecting evidence. This view is in general agreement with a recent proposal that serotonin signals 'beneficialness' of the current state [54].

Conclusion

The study of serotonin is often likened to 'blind men touching an elephant' [69]. Recent molecular tools for cell-type-specific activity recording and manipulation with fine temporal and spatial resolutions are providing unprecedentedly detailed data about serotonergic neuromodulation. Serotonin neurons in different nuclei or even within the same nucleus carry different information [48]. Is it a bad idea then to try to attribute a unified message or function for serotonergic neuromodulation?

Here we proposed a conjecture that the evolutionary origin of serotonergic neuromodulation is signaling the time and resource available for action, learning, and development. The necessity of different responses to this signal by different subsystems of the nervous system may be the reason why so many different receptors and

Table 1

A variety of ways for how an agent should respond to the amount of time available and their possible relationships with serotonergic modulation

	Less time	More time	References
Development	stay	grow	[55]
Energy metabolism	utilize	save	[56,57]
Action vigor	spurt	relax	[58]
Risk taking	gamble	safe	[59]
Threat response	freeze, panic	cope, avoid	[36**,60]
Social decision	selfish	cooperative	[36**,61]
Learning rate α	fast	slow	[62]
Exploration β	exploit	explore	[35,62]
Temporal discounting	steep	slow	[18,21,63]
γ			
Eligibility trace λ	short	long	[64]
TD error component δ	immediate	predictive	[35,65]
Decision strategy	model-free	model-based	[66]
Search	narrow,	wide, deep	[50]
	shallow		
Sensory perception	biased to prior	more	[67,68]
		evidence	
Confidence in reward	low	high	[25,26**]

intracellular signaling mechanisms came into being. As projection topography evolved to cover widespread targets, different subgroups of serotonin neurons would have fine-tuned their messages to better cater to their own recipients.

The functions and references summarized in Table 1 cover just a subset of the vast studies on serotonin and there are many observations that are not consistent with this view. Further studies focusing on time and resource availability are needed to test this conjecture. Constructive approaches by simulated or robotic agents with resource constraints would also be helpful for assessing the behavioral needs for neuromodulation [70,71].

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants 23120007, 16K21738, 16H06561 and 16H06563, and research support of Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University to KD, 24730643 to KWM, and 26120728 to KM.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Doya K: Metalearning and neuromodulation. Neural Netw 2002, 15:495-506.
- Doya K: Modulators of decision making. Nat Neurosci 2008, 11:410-416.
- Schultz W, Dayan P, Montague PR: A neural substrate of prediction and reward. Science 1997, 275:1593-1599.
- Gershman SJ, Uchida N: Believing in dopamine. Nat Rev Neurosci 2019, 15:703-724.
- Müller CP, Cunningham KA: Handbook of the Behavioral Neurobiology of Serotonin. edn 2. Academic Press; 2020.
- Yizhar O, Fenno LE, Davidson TJ, Mogri M, Deisseroth K: Optogenetics in neural systems. Neuron 2011, 71:9-34.
- O'Banion CP, Yasuda R: Fluorescent sensors for neuronal signaling. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2020, 63:31-41.
- 8. Daw ND, Kakade S, Dayan P: **Opponent interactions between** serotonin and dopamine. *Neural Netw* 2002, **15**:603-616.
- Boureau YL, Dayan P: Opponency revisited: competition and cooperation between dopamine and serotonin. Neuropsychopharmacology 2011, 36:74-97.
- Ohmura Y, Tanaka KF, Tsunematsu T, Yamanaka A, Yoshioka M: Optogenetic activation of serotonergic neurons enhances anxiety-like behaviour in mice. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2014:1-7.
- Abela AR, Browne CJ, Sargin D, Prevot TD, Ji XD, Li Z, Lambe EK, Fletcher PJ: Median raphe serotonin neurons promote anxietylike behavior via inputs to the dorsal hippocampus. *Neuropharmacology* 2020, 168:107985.
- Liu Z, Zhou J, Li Y, Hu F, Lu Y, Ma M, Feng Q, Zhang JE, Wang D, Zeng J et al.: Dorsal raphe neurons signal reward through 5-HT and glutamate. *Neuron* 2014, 81:1360-1374.
- McDevitt RA, Tiran-Cappello A, Shen H, Balderas I, Britt JP, Marino RA, Chung SL, Richie CT, Harvey BK, Bonci A:

Serotonergic versus nonserotonergic dorsal raphe projection neurons: differential participation in reward circuitry. *Cell Rep* 2014, 8:1857-1869.

- 14. Qi J, Zhang S, Wang HL, Wang H, de Jesus Aceves Buendia J, Hoffman AF, Lupica CR, Seal RP, Morales M: A glutamatergic reward input from the dorsal raphe to ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Nat Commun* 2014, **5**:5390.
- Wang HL, Zhang S, Qi J, Wang H, Cachope R, Mejias-Aponte CA, Gomez JA, Mateo-Semidey GE, Beaudoin GMJ, Paladini CA et al.: Dorsal raphe dual serotonin-glutamate neurons drive reward by establishing excitatory synapses on VTA mesoaccumbens dopamine neurons. Cell Rep 2019, 26:1128-1142.e7.
- Nagai Y, Takayama K, Nishitani N, Andoh C, Koda M, Shirakawa H, Nakagawa T, Nagayasu K, Yamanaka A, Kaneko S: The role of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons in the balance between reward and aversion. Int J Mol Sci 2020, 21.
- Iigaya K, Fonseca MS, Murakami M, Mainen ZF, Dayan P: An effect of serotonergic stimulation on learning rates for rewards apparent after long intertrial intervals. *Nat Commun* 2018, 9:2477.
- Doya K: Metalearning and neuromodulation. Neural Netw 2002, 15:495-506.
- Miyazaki K, Miyazaki KW, Doya K: Activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons underlies waiting for delayed rewards. J Neurosci 2011, 31:469-479.
- Miyazaki KW, Miyazaki K, Doya K: Activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons is necessary for waiting for delayed rewards. J Neurosci 2012, 32:10451-10457.
- Miyazaki KW, Miyazaki K, Tanaka KF, Yamanaka A, Takahashi A, Tabuchi S, Doya K: Optogenetic activation of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons enhances patience for future rewards. Curr Biol 2014, 24:2033-2040.
- 22. Fonseca MS, Murakami M, Mainen ZF: Activation of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons promotes waiting but is not reinforcing. *Curr Biol* 2015, **25**:306-315.
- Xu S, Das G, Hueske E, Tonegawa S: Dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons control intertemporal choice under trade-off. Curr Biol 2017, 27:3111-3119.e3.
- 24. Nishitani N, Nagayasu K, Asaoka N, Yamashiro M, Andoh C, Nagai Y, Kinoshita H, Kawai H, Shibui N, Liu B et al.: Manipulation of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons modulates active coping to inescapable stress and anxiety-related behaviors in mice and rats. Neuropsychopharmacology 2019, 44:721-732.
- 25. Miyazaki K, Miyazaki KW, Yamanaka A, Tokuda T, Tanaka KF, Doya K: Reward probability and timing uncertainty alter the effect of dorsal raphe serotonin neurons on patience. *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**:2048.
- 26. Miyazaki K, Miyazaki KW, Sivori G, Yamanaka A, Tanaka KF,
- Doya K: Serotonergic projections to the orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortices differentially modulate waiting for future rewards. *Sci Adv* 2020, 6:eabc7246.

The authors had shown that the facilitatory effect of optogenetic stimulation of DRN serotonin neurons on reward waiting [21] is dependent on the certainty of reward delivery and uncertainty of delivery timing [25]. By optogenetic serotonin terminal stimulation, they further revealed that OFC terminal stimulation is nearly effective as DRN stimulation, while mPFC stimulation is effective only when reward timing is uncertain. The authors proposed a Bayesian decision model and reproduced the experimental results with an assumption that OFC and mPFC uses different models of reward timing and that optogenetic stimulation of serotonin neurons increases the prior probability of reward delivery.

- 27. Correia PA, Lottem E, Banerjee D, Machado AS, Carey MR, Mainen ZF: Transient inhibition and long-term facilitation of locomotion by phasic optogenetic activation of serotonin neurons. *eLife* 2017, 6.
- 28. Lottem E, Banerjee D, Vertechi P, Sarra D, Lohuis MO, Mainen ZF:
 Activation of serotonin neurons promotes active persistence in a probabilistic foraging task. Nat Commun 2018, 9:1000.
- In a probabilistic foraging task. Nat Commun 2018, 9:1000. In a probabilistic foraging task, activation of DRN serotonin neurons increased the number of nose-poking behaviors, contrary to the notion that serotonin causes behavioral inhibition.

29. Yoshida K, Drew MR, Mimura M, Tanaka KF: Serotonin-mediated • inhibition of ventral hippocampus is required for sustained

goal-directed behavior. *Nat Neurosci* 2019, **22**:770-777. The authors found that MRN serotonin neurons inhibited ventral hippocampal neurons, which facilitates lever pressing behaviors.

- Walsh JJ, Christoffel DJ, Heifets BD, Ben-Dor GA, Selimbeyoglu A, Hung LW, Deisseroth K, Malenka RC: 5-HT release in nucleus accumbens rescues social deficits in mouse autism model. Nature 2018, 560:589-594.
- Marinelli S, Schnell SA, Hack SP, Christie MJ, Wessendorf MW, Vaughan CW: Serotonergic and nonserotonergic dorsal raphe neurons are pharmacologically and electrophysiologically heterogeneous. J Neurophysiol 2004, 92:3532-3537.
- Cohen JY, Amoroso MW, Uchida N: Serotonergic neurons signal reward and punishment on multiple timescales. eLife 2015, 4.
- 33. Li Y, Zhong W, Wang D, Feng Q, Liu Z, Zhou J, Jia C, Hu F, Zeng J,
 Guo Q et al.: Serotonin neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus encode reward signals. Nat Commun 2016, 7:10503.

Contrary to the classic theory that serotonin signals aversion, this fiber photometry study revealed that DRN serotonin neurons are activated by rewards like sucrose, food, sex, and social interaction, but not by aversive stimuli including quinine and footshock.

- Zhong W, Li Y, Feng Q, Luo M: Learning and stress shape the reward response patterns of serotonin neurons. J Neurosci 2017, 37:8863-8875.
- Matias S, Lottem E, Dugue GP, Mainen ZF: Activity patterns of serotonin neurons underlying cognitive flexibility. *eLife* 2017, 6.
- Seo C, Guru A, Jin M, Ito B, Sleezer BJ, Ho Y-Y, Wang E, Boada C,
 Krupa NA, Kullakanda DS *et al.*: Intense threat switches dorsal raphe serotonin neurons to a paradoxical operational mode. *Science* 2019, 363:538-542.

This is the first report of micro-endoscopic imaging of individual serotonin neuron activities in DRN. The spatial or individual differences in activity profiles are yet to be reported, such that the observations are similar to those from population recording by fiber photometry.

- Miyazaki KW, Miyazaki K, Doya K: Activation of the central serotonergic system in response to delayed but not omitted rewards. Eur J Neurosci 2011, 33:153-160.
- Hashemi P, Dankoski EC, Lama R, Wood KM, Takmakov P, Wightman RM: Brain dopamine and serotonin differ in regulation and its consequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109:11510-11515.
- 39. Jing M, Zhang Y, Wang H, Li Y: G-protein-coupled receptor based sensors for imaging neurochemicals with high sensitivity and specificity. J Neurochem 2019, 151:279-288.

These are recent reviews of fluorescent biosensors for neuromodulators, including GRAB_{5HT}.

- 40. Sabatini BL, Tian L: Imaging neurotransmitter and neuromodulator dynamics in vivo with genetically encoded indicators. *Neuron* 2020, **108**:17-32.
- Patriarchi T, Cho JR, Merten K, Howe MW, Marley A, Xiong WH, Folk RW, Broussard GJ, Liang R, Jang MJ et al.: Ultrafast neuronal imaging of dopamine dynamics with designed genetically encoded sensors. Science 2018, 360.
- 42. Sun F, Zeng J, Jing M, Zhou J, Feng J, Owen SF, Luo Y, Li F, Wang H, Yamaguchi T *et al.*: A genetically encoded fluorescent sensor enables rapid and specific detection of dopamine in flies, fish, and mice. *Cell* 2018, **174**:481-496.e19.
- Jing M, Zhang P, Wang G, Feng J, Mesik L, Zeng J, Jiang H, Wang S, Looby JC, Guagliardo NA et al.: A genetically encoded fluorescent acetylcholine indicator for in vitro and in vivo studies. Nat Biotechnol 2018, 36:726-737.
- 44. Feng J, Zhang C, Lischinsky JE, Jing M, Zhou J, Wang H, Zhang Y, Dong A, Wu Z, Wu H et al.: A genetically encoded fluorescent sensor for rapid and specific in vivo detection of norepinephrine. *Neuron* 2019, 102:745-761.e8.
- Wan J, Peng W, Li X, Qian T, Song K, Zeng J, Deng F, Hao S, Feng J, Zhang P et al.: A genetically encoded GRAB sensor for measuring serotonin dynamics in vivo. *bioRxiv* 2020 http://dx. doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.24.962282.

- Watabe-Uchida M, Zhu L, Ogawa SK, Vamanrao A, Uchida N: Whole-brain mapping of direct inputs to midbrain dopamine neurons. Neuron 2012, 74:858-873.
- Ogawa SK, Cohen JY, Hwang D, Uchida N, Watabe-Uchida M: Organization of monosynaptic inputs to the serotonin and dopamine neuromodulatory systems. *Cell Rep* 2014, 8:1105-1118.
- 48. Ren J, Friedmann D, Xiong J, Liu CD, Ferguson BR, Weerakkody T,
- DeLoach KE, Ran C, Pun A, Sun Y et al.: Anatomically defined and functionally distinct dorsal raphe serotonin sub-systems. *Cell* 2018, **175**:472-487.e20.

The author utilized an arsenal of cell-type and projection selective imaging and manipulation technologies to dissociate the input, output, activity, and function of the ventral and dorsal portions of DRN.

- 49. Crockett MJ, Clark L, Robbins TW: Reconciling the role of
 serotonin in behavioral inhibition and aversion: acute tryptophan depletion abolishes punishment-induced
- inhibition in humans. J Neurosci 2009, **29**:11993-11999.
- Huys QJ, Eshel N, O'Nions E, Sheridan L, Dayan P, Roiser JP: Bonsai trees in your head: how the pavlovian system sculpts goal-directed choices by pruning decision trees. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2012, 8:e1002410.
- Azmitia EC: Evolution of serotonin: sunlight to suicide. In Handbook of the Behavioral Neurobiology of Serotonin, edn 2. Edited by Müller CP, Cunningham KA.Academic Press; 2020:3-22.
- 52. Young SN: How to increase serotonin in the human brain without drugs. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2007, 32:394-399.
- Slominski A, Wortsman J, Tobin DJ: The cutaneous serotoninergic/melatoninergic system: securing a place under the sun. FASEB J 2005, 19:176-194.
- 54. Liu Z, Lin R, Luo M: Reward contributions to serotonergic functions. Annu Rev Neurosci 2020, 43:141-162.
- Lesch KP, Waider J: Serotonin in the modulation of neural plasticity and networks: implications for neurodevelopmental disorders. Neuron 2012, 76:175-191.
- Wyler SC, Lord CC, Lee S, Elmquist JK, Liu C: Serotonergic control of metabolic homeostasis. Front Cell Neurosci 2017, 11:277.
- 57. Yabut JM, Crane JD, Green AE, Keating DJ, Khan WI, Steinberg GR: Emerging roles for serotonin in regulating metabolism: new implications for an ancient molecule. Endocr Rev 2019, 40:1092-1107.
- Crockett MJ, Clark L, Apergis-Schoute AM, Morein-Zamir S, Robbins TW: Serotonin modulates the effects of Pavlovian aversive predictions on response vigor. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2012, 37:2244-2252.
- Rogers RD, Everitt BJ, Baldacchino A, Blackshaw AJ, Swainson R, Wynne K, Baker NB, Hunter J, Carthy T, Booker E *et al.*: Dissociable deficits in the decision-making cognition of

chronic amphetamine abusers, opiate abusers, patients with focal damage to prefrontal cortex, and tryptophan-depleted normal volunteers: evidence for monoaminergic mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1999, **20**:322-339.

- 60. Amo R, Fredes F, Kinoshita M, Aoki R, Aizawa H, Agetsuma M, Aoki T, Shiraki T, Kakinuma H, Matsuda M et al.: The Habenulo-Raphe serotonergic circuit encodes an aversive expectation value essential for adaptive active avoidance of danger. Neuron 2014, 84:1034-1048.
- Dolen G, Darvishzadeh A, Huang KW, Malenka RC: Social reward requires coordinated activity of nucleus accumbens oxytocin and serotonin. *Nature* 2013, 501:179-184.
- Brigman JL, Mathur P, Harvey-White J, Izquierdo A, Saksida LM, Bussey TJ, Fox S, Deneris E, Murphy DL, Holmes A: Pharmacological or genetic inactivation of the serotonin transporter improves reversal learning in mice. *Cereb Cortex* 2010, 20:1955-1963.
- Schweighofer N, Bertin M, Shishida K, Okamoto Y, Tanaka SC, Yamawaki S, Doya K: Low-serotonin levels increase delayed reward discounting in humans. J Neurosci 2008, 28:4528-4532.
- 64. Tanaka SC, Shishida K, Schweighofer N, Okamoto Y, Yamawaki S, Doya K: Serotonin affects association of aversive outcomes to past actions. J Neurosci 2009, 29:15669-15674.
- Moran RJ, Kishida KT, Lohrenz T, Saez I, Laxton AW, Witcher MR, Tatter SB, Ellis TL, Phillips PE, Dayan P et al.: The protective action encoding of serotonin transients in the human brain. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2018, 43:1425-1435.
- Worbe Y, Palminteri S, Savulich G, Daw ND, Fernandez-Egea E, Robbins TW, Voon V: Valence-dependent influence of serotonin depletion on model-based choice strategy. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016, 21:624-629.
- Michaiel AM, Parker PRL, Niell CM: A hallucinogenic serotonin-2A receptor agonist reduces visual response gain and alters temporal dynamics in mouse V1. Cell Rep 2019, 26:3475-3483. e4.
- Seillier L, Lorenz C, Kawaguchi K, Ott T, Nieder A, Pourriahi P, Nienborg H: Serotonin decreases the gain of visual responses in awake macaque V1. J Neurosci 2017, 37:11390-11405.
- Jacobs BL, Fornal CA: Brain serotonergic neuronal activity in behaving cats. In Serotonin and Sleep: Molecular, Functional and Clinical Aspects. Edited by Monti JM, Pandi-Perumal SR, Jacobs BL, Nutt DJ. Birkhäuser Basel; 2008.
- Reinke C, Uchibe E, Doya K: Average reward optimization with multiple discounting reinforcement learners. In International Conference on Neural Information Processing (ICONIP 2017), vol 10634. Edited by Liu D, Xie S, Li Y, Zhao D, El-Alfy E. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science; 2017:789-800.
- 71. Xing J, Zou X, Krichmar JL: Neuromodulated patience for robot and self-driving vehicle navigation. *IJCNN 2020*. 2020.