1	Using ultraconserved elements to reconstruct the termite tree of life
2	
3	Running title: UCEs in termites
4	
5	Simon Hellemans ^{1,*} , Menglin Wang ¹ , Nonno Hasegawa ¹ , Jan Šobotník ² , Rudolf H. Scheffrahn ³ ,
6	Thomas Bourguignon ^{1,2,*}
7	
8	¹ Okinawa Institute of Science & Technology Graduate University, 1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son,
9	Okinawa 904-0495, Japan.
10	² Faculty of Tropical AgriScience, Czech University of Life Sciences, Kamýcka 129, 16521
11	Prague, Czech Republic.
12	³ Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center, Institute for Food and Agricultural Sciences,
13	3205 College Avenue, Davie, Florida 33314 USA.
14	
15	*Corresponding authors: simon.hellemans@gmail.com (SH); Thomas.Bourguignon@oist.jp
16	(TB)

1

17 **ORCID** references of authors

- 18 Simon Hellemans: 0000-0003-1266-9134
- 19 Menglin Wang: 0000-0003-2206-9503
- 20 Nonno Hasegawa: 0000-0001-5149-9129
- 21 Jan Šobotník: 0000-0002-8581-637X
- 22 Rudolf H. Scheffrahn: 0000-0002-6191-5963
- 23 Thomas Bourguignon: 0000-0002-4035-8977
- 24

25 Author contributions

26 SH and TB conceptualized the experiments. JS and RS collected the samples. MW performed

27 lab experiments and generated data. SH, MW, and NH analyzed the data. SH and TB wrote the

28 manuscript. All authors edited and accepted the final version of this manuscript.

29

30 Competing interests

- 31 We declare we have no competing interests.
- 32

33 Funding

This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (project No. 15-07015Y), the Internal Grant Agency of the Faculty of Tropical AgriSciences, CULS (20213112), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through a postdoctoral fellowship for foreign

37 researchers awarded to SH (19F19819), and the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology38 through core unit funding.

39

40 Acknowledgments

We thank Yves Roisin, Saran Traoré, and Guy Josens for providing specimens as well as Crystal Clitheroe for helping with DNA extraction and library preparation. We also thank the DNA Sequencing Section and the Scientific Computation and Data Analysis Section (SCDA) of the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Okinawa, Japan, for assistance with sequencing and providing access to the OIST computing cluster, respectively.

46

47 Electronic Supplementary Materials

48 Additional information is available for this manuscript.

49

50 Data Archiving

The data that support the findings of this study are deposited in GenBank under accessions OL875029-OL875058 (mitogenomes), OL901435-OL901467 (5S rRNAs), OL895120-OL895152 (5.8S rRNAs), OL895153-OL895185 (18S rRNAs), and OL895274-OL895307 (28S rRNAs) (see Supplementary Table S1 for details). Bait and UCE sequences, as well as intermediary files (Supplementary Data 1-9), are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <u>https://doi.org/ TO BE DEPOSITED ON ACCEPTANCE</u>. The Termite UCE database is maintained at: https://github.com/oist/TER-UCE-DB/.

58 Abstract

The phylogenetic history of termites has been investigated using mitochondrial genomes and 59 60 transcriptomes. However, both sets of markers have specific limitations. Mitochondrial genomes represent a single genetic marker likely to yield phylogenetic trees presenting 61 incongruences with species trees, and transcriptomes can only be obtained from well-preserved 62 samples. In contrast, ultraconserved elements (UCEs) include a great many independent 63 markers that can be retrieved from poorly preserved samples. Here, we designed termite-64 65 specific baits targeting 50,616 UCE loci. We tested our UCE bait set on 42 samples of termites 66 and three samples of Cryptocercus, for which we generated low-coverage highly-fragmented genome assemblies and successfully extracted in silico between 3,426 to 42,860 non-duplicated 67 68 UCEs per sample. Our maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree, reconstructed using the 5,934 UCE loci retrieved from upward of 75% of samples, was congruent with transcriptome-based 69 phylogenies, demonstrating that our UCE bait set is reliable and phylogenetically informative. 70 71 Combined with non-destructive DNA extraction protocols, our UCE bait set provides the tool 72 needed to carry out a global taxonomic revision of termites based on poorly preserved specimens such as old museum samples. The Termite UCE database is maintained at: 73 74 https://github.com/oist/TER-UCE-DB/.

75 Key words: Data Mining; Isoptera; Phylogenomics; Mitochondrial genome; Nuclear genome;
76 Taxonomy.

77 **1. Introduction**

Termites are the most ancient lineage of social insects, with a fossil record dating back to the 78 Early Cretaceous ~135 million years ago (Ma) (Thorne et al., 2000; Grimaldi & Engel, 2005; 79 Engel et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2019; Barden & Engel, 2021). All modern termites share a 80 common ancestor that most time-calibrated phylogenetic trees estimated at ~150 Ma, around 81 82 the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary (Bourguignon et al., 2015; Legendre et al., 2015; Bucek et al., 2019; Evangelista et al., 2019). A few time-calibrated phylogenetic trees estimated an 83 earlier origin of termites, around the Triassic-Jurassic boundary (Ware et al., 2010; Jouault et 84 al., 2021), a scenario implying a ~70 million years gap in the fossil record. However, the bulk 85 of the modern termite species diversity belongs to the Termitidae, a lineage that originated 86 87 during the early Eocene ~50 Ma according to both time-calibrated phylogenetic reconstructions (Bourguignon et al., 2015, 2017; Bucek et al., 2019; Jouault et al., 2021) and the fossil record 88 (Engel et al., 2011). While the backbone of the phylogenetic tree of termites is now largely 89 90 resolved, most termite species are still awaiting to be placed on the tree of life.

Our understanding of the phylogenetic history of termites was mostly based on 91 mitochondrial markers until Bucek et al. (2019) published a phylogenetic tree of termites based 92 on transcriptome data. The first phylogenetic trees of termites were based on a couple of PCR-93 94 amplified mitochondrial markers, sometimes combined with nuclear 18S or 28S sequences and/or morphological characters (e.g., Lo et al., 2004; Inward et al., 2007; Legendre et al., 95 96 2008). These phylogenies provided a good overview of the relationships among the main 97 termite lineages but lacked the robustness of phylogenetic trees inferred from full mitochondrial genomes (e.g., Cameron et al., 2012; Bourguignon et al., 2015, 2017). Full mitochondrial 98 99 genomes, which became easy to sequence with the rise of second-generation sequencing technologies, resolve both shallow and deep divergences in the evolutionary history of termites 100 101 and other insect lineages (Cameron, 2014), making them a marker of choice for phylogenetic

102 reconstructions. However, mitochondrial genomes form a single marker, as all mitochondrial 103 genes are linked and maternally inherited as a single package. Consequently, mitochondrial phylogenies are sometimes discordant with species phylogenies, especially for closely related 104 105 species and short internal branches that diverged in periods of time too brief for alleles to coalesce (Whitfield & Lockhart, 2007; Degnan & Rosenberg, 2009). One example of such 106 discordance is provided by Sphaerotermitinae, the unambiguous sister group of 107 108 Macrotermitinae according to transcriptomic data (Bucek et al., 2019), which is supported as 109 sister to non-macrotermitine non-foraminitermitine Termitidae by mitochondrial genome phylogenies (Figure 2B) (Bourguignon et al., 2017). Phylogenies based on multiple 110 111 independent nuclear markers are needed to resolve the evolutionary history of organisms accurately. 112

Transcriptomes, the snapshot of genes expressed by an organism during tissue sampling, 113 114 include many independent nuclear markers that can be used to build robust phylogenetic trees. Transcriptome-based phylogenies, reconstructed using up to ~4,000 single-copy orthologous 115 nuclear genes spanning over 7.7 million nucleotide positions, have provided a robust picture of 116 the ancient evolutionary history of termites (Bucek et al., 2019). The sequencing of 117 118 transcriptomes is now affordable, but, unfortunately, RNA is unstable and can only be extracted 119 from samples that have been adequately preserved and stored, preventing the use of most 120 samples collected before the genomic era began and making the approach impractical for large-121 scale studies. One alternative is to mine the conserved genetic markers present in wholegenome shotgun sequencing datasets, such as some datasets generated to sequence 122 123 mitochondrial genomes (Allio et al., 2020).

Ultraconserved Elements (UCEs) are highly conserved nuclear regions found across
animal genomes, including the exonic, intronic, and intergenic regions. While their functions
remain largely unknown in vertebrates (Bejerano *et al.*, 2004; Faircloth *et al.*, 2012), recent

6

127 analyses indicated that the UCEs of arthropods are mostly found in exons (Hedin et al., 2019; 128 Van Dam et al., 2021). Phylogenetic trees inferred from UCEs enabled to resolve both shallow and deep divergences, and have contributed to our understanding of the evolutionary history of 129 130 various animal lineages spanning across the animal tree of life (Faircloth et al., 2012; Ryu et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2014; Branstetter & Longino, 2019; White & Braun, 2019; Zhang et al., 131 132 2019). Unlike transcriptomes, UCEs can readily be obtained from museum samples through 133 baiting conserved elements and their phylogenetically-informative flanking regions from 134 fragmented genome assemblies (Blaimer et al., 2016; Faircloth, 2017; Derkarabetian et al., 135 2019). No UCE bait set has been designed for termites so far. We filled this gap as follows: (i) 136 we designed *in silico* baits to capture UCEs; (*ii*) we compared phylogenetic trees reconstructed using mitochondrial genomes, nuclear ribosomal RNA genes, and UCEs; and (iii) we showed 137 138 that UCEs obtained from low-coverage shotgun genome assemblies allow for the reconstruction 139 of multi-gene phylogenies with robustness similar to transcriptome-based phylogenies. Finally, 140 we set up a Termite UCE Database, thereby ensuring a long-term re-usability of published data.

141

142 2. Material and Methods

143 Biological samples and sequencing

We used sequence data from 42 samples of termites and three samples of *Cryptocercus*, the wood-feeding subsocial cockroach genus forming the sister group of termites. The species were selected to include all main termite lineages, as was the case for the transcriptome-based phylogeny of Bucek *et al.* (2019). The sequencing data of 14 species were retrieved from previous studies (for details, see Table S1). The sequencing data from the remaining 31 species were obtained from samples preserved in 80% ethanol stored at room temperature or from samples preserved in RNA-later® and stored at temperatures ranging between -20°C and -80°C

151 until DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue extraction kit (Qiagen). Libraries were prepared using the NEBNext® Ultra[™] II FS DNA Library 152 153 Preparation Kit (New England Biolabs) and the Unique Dual Indexing Kit (New England Biolabs), with reagent volumes reduced to one-fifteenth of recommended volumes. For samples 154 155 preserved in 80% ethanol, libraries were prepared without the enzymatic fragmentation step as 156 the DNA of these samples is typically highly fragmented. Libraries were pooled in equimolar 157 concentration and paired-end sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq X or Novaseq platforms at a 158 read length of 150 bp.

159

160 UCE loci identification and in silico bait design

161 The identification of UCE loci was carried out using PHYLUCE v1.6.6 (Faircloth, 2016) 162 following the recommendations of the tutorial (https://phyluce.readthedocs.io/en/stable/) and outlined workflow (Faircloth, 2017). Four publicly available genomes belonging to distantly 163 related termite species were used to design baits: Zootermopsis nevadensis (Archotermopsidae), 164 Cryptotermes secundus (Kalotermitidae), Coptotermes formosanus (Rhinotermitidae), and 165 Macrotermes natalensis (Termitidae) (Poulsen et al., 2014; Terrapon et al., 2014; Harrison et 166 167 al., 2018; Itakura et al., 2020). Genome completeness was assessed using BUSCO v4.1.2 (Simão et al., 2015) and QUAST v5.0.2 (Gurevich et al., 2013). The genome of M. natalensis 168 169 was chosen as the base genome for bait design due to its comparatively higher QUAST and 170 BUSCO scores (for details, see Table S1).

171 Repetitive elements, retroelements, transposons, and small RNAs were masked from 172 genome assemblies using RepeatMasker v4.1.1 (Smit *et al.*, 2015) with the command line "-173 species eukaryota -div 50". Assemblies were converted in the 2-bit format using the 174 faToTwoBit tool of the BLAT suite of programs (Kent, 2002). We simulated 100 bp error-free

175 paired-end sequencing reads from the three genome assemblies other than that of M. natalensis 176 using art illumina Q v2.5.8 (Huang et al., 2012) with the command line "--fcov 2 --mflen 200 --sdev 150". In order to identify orthologous loci representing putative UCEs, the reads 177 178 simulated from the three termite genome assemblies were mapped independently on the genome assembly of *M. natalensis* with a 0.05 substitution rate onto the base genome using the 179 180 permissive raw-read aligner Stampy v1.0.32 (Lunter & Goodson, 2011). The three alignment 181 maps were handled with SAMtools v1.9 (Li et al., 2009) and converted into BED files with 182 bedtools v2.29.2 (Quinlan & Hall, 2010). In each BED file, putative conserved regions 183 overlapping by at least one nucleotide were merged using bedtools. Conserved sequences 184 shorter than 80 bp or containing over 25% of masked nucleotides were discarded using the phyluce program phyluce_probe_strip_masked_loci_from_set. The putative orthologous loci 185 186 found across the four termite genomes were combined into a database using 187 phyluce_probe_get_multi_merge_table (Supplementary Data 1). A total of 175,535 loci shared 188 the identified by four termite genomes and extracted using were 189 phyluce_probe_query_multi_merge_table and 190 phyluce_probe_get_genome_sequences_from_bed, respectively. Extracted UCE sequences shorter than 180 bp were buffered to 180 bp by including 5' and 3' flanking regions in equal 191 192 amounts with phyluce_probe_get_genome_sequences_from_bed (Supplementary Data 2).

A preliminary set of 120 bp baits was designed from the base genome of *M. natalensis* 193 194 using phyluce_probe_get_tiled_probes. Baits targeted a region of 180 bp and overlapped in its 195 center by 60 bp (at 2X tiling density). UCEs with ambiguous base calls and GC-content above 196 70% or below 30% were discarded from the bait set. Duplicates, defined as sequences having 50% identity over half of their length, were also removed from the bait set using LASTZ (Harris, 197 198 2007) implemented phyluce_probe_easy_lastz in the programs and 199 phyluce_probe_remove_duplicate_hits_from_probes_using_lastz. In order to further identify

9

200 and remove non-specific baits, we aligned the bait set (Supplementary Data 3) to the four 201 genomes with phyluce probe run multiple lastzs solite using a minimum identity threshold 202 of 80% and minimum coverage of 83%. Sequences shorter than 180 bp were buffered to 180 203 bp by including 5' and 3' flanking regions in equal amounts and extracted from the alignments using phyluce probe slice sequence from genomes. The loci shared by the four termite 204 205 genomes identified using phyluce probe get multi fasta table were and 206 phyluce_probe_query_multi_fasta_table (Supplementary Data 4). The final UCE bait set was 207 designed with phyluce probe get tiled probe from multiple inputs, and duplicates were 208 removed using LASTZ as described above (397,910 baits targeting 50,616 loci; Supplementary 209 Data 5). This final set of loci was tentatively annotated using the GFF file (NCBI Annotation Release 100) from the Z. nevadensis genome assembly (GCF_000696155). 210

211

212 Genome assembling and mining of phylogenetic markers

213 The general steps for data mining and analyses are outlined in Figure 1. Adapters and lowquality bases were trimmed from raw reads using fastp v0.20.1 (Chen et al., 2018), resulting in 214 215 a total of 4.55 to 448.64 million paired-end reads per sample (for details, see Table S1). Trimmed reads were assembled using metaSPAdes v3.13 (Nurk et al., 2017). The quality and 216 completeness of assemblies were assessed with QUAST and BUSCO (Table S1). 217 218 Mitochondrial genome scaffolds were identified in metaSPAdes assemblies and annotated 219 using MitoFinder v1.4 (Allio et al., 2020). Nuclear ribosomal RNA genes (5S, 5.8S, 18S, and 220 28S) were extracted from metaSPAdes assemblies using barrnap *v*0.9 (https://github.com/tseemann/barrnap). UCE loci were extracted from metaSPAdes assemblies 221 222 using the final set of termite baits we designed and the PHYLUCE suite of programs with 223 parameter values set as recommended in the tutorial and previously published studies (Faircloth

et al., 2015; Faircloth, 2017; Quattrini et al., 2018). Briefly, baits were aligned to the 224 225 metaSPAdes assemblies at а minimum similarity threshold 50% with of phyluce_probe_run_multiple_lastzs_sqlite. Sequences of the metaSPAdes assemblies 226 227 matching baits were extracted with the flanking 200 bp situated at both the 5' and 3' ends using phyluce probe slice sequence from genomes. Extracted sequences were mapped back to the 228 baits using phyluce assembly match contigs to probes with a minimum identity of 80% over 229 230 67% of bait length to remove duplicates and sequences matching multiple UCE loci 231 (Supplementary Data 6; Contribution #1 to the Termite UCE Database available at: 232 https://github.com/oist/TER-UCE-DB/). The average coverage of UCE loci per sample was 233 obtained using the mapping workflow of PHYLUCE v1.7.1.

234

235 Sequence alignment

The 13 mitochondrial protein-coding genes, two mitochondrial rRNA genes, 22 mitochondrial 236 237 tRNA genes, four nuclear rRNA genes, and UCEs were aligned using MAFFT v7.305 (Katoh 238 & Standley, 2013). For mitochondrial protein-coding genes, we translated DNA sequences into the corresponding amino acid sequences using the transeq function from EMBOSS v6.6.0 (Rice 239 240 et al., 2000) and aligned protein sequences with MAFFT. Protein alignments were back-241 translated into codon alignments using PAL2NAL v14 (Suyama et al., 2006). The other four 242 types of genes, the mitochondrial rRNA and tRNA genes, nuclear rRNA genes, and UCEs, 243 were aligned as DNA sequences. UCE loci were aligned using MAFFT implemented in 244 phyluce_align_seqcap_align, and internal trimming was performed under default parameters with Gblocks (Castresana, 2000; Talavera & Castresana, 2007) implemented in 245 246 phyluce align get gblocks trimmed alignments from untrimmed. Loci absent in more than 25% of taxa were filtered out with phyluce_align_get_only_loci_with_min_taxa. The final 247 UCE supermatrix was exported using phyluce align format nexus files for raxml 248

(Supplementary Data 7: alignments; Supplementary Data 8: corresponding reduced bait set).
Mitochondrial and nuclear gene alignments were concatenated using FASconCAT-G_v1.04.pl
(Kück & Longo, 2014).

252

253 *Phylogenetic analyses*

254 We ran one separate phylogenetic analysis for the mitochondrial genome alignment, the nuclear rRNA alignment, and the UCE alignment. We also ran one phylogenetic analysis for the 255 combined UCE and mitochondrial genome alignments. The mitochondrial genome alignment 256 257 was separated into five distinct partitions: combined rRNAs, combined tRNAs, and combined first, second, and third codon positions of protein-coding genes. Nuclear rRNA gene and UCE 258 259 alignments were given a single partition each. Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed in a 260 maximum likelihood (ML) framework using IO-TREE v1.6.12 with 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap 261 replicates (UFB) to assess branch supports (Nguyen et al., 2015; Chernomor et al., 2016; Hoang 262 et al., 2018). The best-fit nucleotide substitution model was selected for each partition with the 263 Bayesian Information Criterion using ModelFinder implemented in **IQ-TREE** (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017). We calculated a global bootstrap support (GBS) value for each 264 265 tree by averaging bootstrap values of all nodes. To assess concordance among UCEs, we carried 266 out a multi-gene coalescence analysis with ASTRAL-III v5.7.7 (Zhang et al., 2018) using 267 individual gene trees obtained with IQ-TREE as described above. We allowed polytomies to 268 reduce gene tree biases. Branch supports calculated with ASTRAL represent local posterior 269 probabilities (LPP), which are based on gene tree quartet frequencies (Sayyari & Mirarab, 2016). Topological conflicts between individual gene trees and the ASTRAL species tree were 270 271 assessed with PhyParts (Smith et al., 2015) and visualized with PhyPartsPieCharts (https://github.com/mossmatters/phyloscripts/tree/master/phypartspiecharts). Additionally, we 272 evaluated whether merging cogenic UCEs (i.e., non-overlapping UCEs occurring within a 273

single gene) improved the ASTRAL multi-gene coalescence tree by comparing the averaged
GBS (aGBS) of all individual gene trees between analyses.

276

277 **3. Results**

278 In silico data mining

The mitochondrial genomes were retrieved from all 42 termite metaSPAdes assemblies. Wealso retrieved the four nuclear rRNA genes from 84% of the samples (see Table S1).

281 Our termite UCE bait set targeted a total of 50,616 loci distributed across 1,094 scaffolds 282 of the Z. nevadensis genome assembly (GCF_000696155). Of these 50,616 loci, 6,325 (12.5 %) were found in the non-coding (intergenic) regions of 787 scaffolds (Supplementary Data 9). 283 284 The remaining 44,291 loci (including 3,325 with more than one possible annotation) were found 285 in genes distributed across 886 scaffolds. The 40,966 coding loci annotated once were spread 286 over 7,910 genes, of which 6,053 (76.52 %) contained more than one ultraconserved loci and 287 31,329 loci (76.48 %) were in exons. When including loci with multiple annotations, the 288 number of genes with UCEs potentially reaches 9,105.

From the 50,616 targeted loci, we extracted between 3,426 and 42,860 non-duplicated 289 290 UCE loci from 42 termite metaSPAdes assemblies (Figure 2A; Table S1). The number of 291 extracted UCEs among the 38 termite samples was independent of the preservation method (Kruskal-Wallis test: $H_2 = 3.72$, p = 0.16), with a median of 37,629 loci for samples in RNA-292 293 later (n = 12, range = 3,426-42,117), 23,951 in ethanol 100% (n = 4, range = 21,257-41,278), 294 and 23,476 in ethanol 80-85% (n = 22, range = 6,602-40,520). The number of non-duplicated UCE loci extracted from the assemblies of Cryptocercus roaches varied between 13,480 and 295 16,331. The average coverage of UCE loci per sample was between 8.38 to 134.71x (Table S1). 296 The final supermatrix, complete at 75% and containing loci present in at least 33 of the 45 taxa, 297



300

301 *Phylogenetic reconstructions*

302 Many deep and shallow relationships within termites were poorly resolved by the nuclear rRNA 303 phylogenetic tree (GBS = 72.12) (Figure S1). Only 11 of the 44 nodes harbored a UFB > 95. 304 The nuclear rRNA phylogenetic tree did not recover well-established relationships, such as the 305 sister position of Mastotermes with respect to all other termites. Because of its poor performance, we excluded the rRNA alignment from the analysis performed on combined 306 307 marker classes. The phylogenetic reconstruction based on mitochondrial genomes resolved 308 most relationships (GBS = 87; 27 nodes with UFB > 95), except for several nodes within the 309 Serritermitidae, the Rhinotermitidae, and the Termitinae (Figure S2), as previously reported 310 (Bourguignon et al., 2015). The phylogenetic analysis performed exclusively on UCEs 311 provided the most robust phylogenetic tree among the analyses performed on separate marker classes (Figure S3; GBS = 98.59; 42 nodes with UFB > 95, four with UFB < 100). Combining 312 313 UCEs and mitochondrial genomes marginally improved the overall support of the phylogenetic reconstruction (Figure 2; Figure S4; GBS = 99.02; 43 nodes with UFB > 95, three with UFB < 314 100). Analyses on UCEs alone or combined with mitogenomes resolved all nodes with high 315 316 supports, except for the position of the rhinotermitid Termitogeton planus (Figures S3, S4: UFB 317 = 52 and 65, respectively). The phylogenetic analysis with ASTRAL revealed minimal 318 discordance among the 5,934 UCE markers (Figure S5; final normalized quartet score of 0.89), 319 except for five of the 44 nodes that presented conflicts among UCE markers (LPP < 1). Within 320 the Rhinotermitidae, the nodes corresponding to the split of T. planus and Prorhinotermes 321 simplex displayed moderate concordance among UCE markers (LPP of 0.89 and 0.83,

322 respectively). Within the Termitidae, the nodes corresponding to the split of *Neocapritermes* 323 *utiariti*, *Pericapritermes* sp. 4, and *Nitiditermes* + *Cavitermes* showed moderate to high levels 324 of discordance (LPP of 0.66, 0.98, and 0.39, respectively). PhyParts analyses on a subset of 325 1,000 gene trees revealed some levels of topological discordances (Figure S6). Nodes with discordance were mostly dominated by a plethora of topologies rather than by a single 326 alternative and uninformative gene trees. Using the functional annotation of the Z. nevadensis 327 328 genome assembly (Supplementary Data 9) to filter the loci in the 75%-completeness 329 supermatrix, 4,941 loci (from the pool of 40,966 singly-annotated markers) were merged into 2,635 genes. The ASTRAL tree reconstructed using this refined set presented significantly 330 331 higher overall support (final normalized quartet score of 0.90; aGBS = 76.16) compared with the unfiltered analysis (aGBS = 73.69; Welch two-sample *t*-test: t = -16.70, df = 5250.6, p < 332 333 0.001). However, nodes with low LPP remained unresolved (Figure S7).

334

335 **4. Discussion**

We reconstructed phylogenetic trees for 42 species of termites and three species of 336 337 Cryptocercus using three classes of markers: nuclear rRNA genes, mitochondrial genomes, and UCEs. The performance of the three types of phylogenetic markers decreased along the 338 sequence: UCEs, mitochondrial genomes, and nuclear rRNA genes. The phylogenetic tree 339 inferred from the latter class of markers, the nuclear rRNA genes, was poorly resolved and did 340 341 not recover well-established relationships, such as the sister position of Mastotermes with 342 respect to all other termites. The phylogenetic tree inferred from mitochondrial genomes was robust but failed to retrieve Sphaerotermitinae as sister to Macrotermitinae, as previously 343 reported (Bourguignon et al., 2015; Bucek et al., 2019). The best phylogenetic tree was that 344 345 reconstructed using the 75%-occupancy matrix comprised of 5,934 UCE loci (Figures 2; S3).

This phylogenetic tree was almost fully resolved and largely congruent with the phylogenetic trees inferred from transcriptomic data (Bucek *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, our results indicate that the termite UCE bait set we designed performs very well when reconstructing phylogenetic relationships among termite species. The addition of mitochondrial genome data (Figure S4), which, as UCEs, can be recovered from shotgun genome assemblies, slightly improved the global bootstrap support of the termite phylogenetic tree.

We ran our analyses on samples for which we generated low coverage genome 352 353 assemblies. The final bait set targeting a total of 50,616 orthologous loci was obtained from 354 four termite genomes, belonging to four families. Such a high number of UCE loci was 355 previously reported in other groups of arthropods (e.g., Buenaventura et al., 2021). We retrieved numerous UCE sequences for all samples, including many that produced highly fragmented 356 357 assemblies with low BUSCO scores (for details, see Table S1). All samples were accurately 358 placed on the phylogenetic tree reconstructed with the 5,934 loci present in the 75% -occupancy 359 supermatrix. Therefore, our UCE bait set has the potential to be used for mining phylogenetically informative genetic data from assemblies obtained from shotgun sequencing 360 experiments. We established a centralized termite UCE database (https://github.com/oist/TER-361 362 <u>UCE-DB</u>/), which we plan to use to reference all UCE data extracted with the presently designed bait set, thereby ensuring the long-term re-usability of the available data. 363

The analysis with ASTRAL revealed a few cases of discordance among UCE markers for lineages of Rhinotermitidae and Termitidae whose phylogenetic position was also unresolved with transcriptomic data (Bucek *et al.*, 2019). We used 5,934 UCE loci, a large number of markers that inevitably led to topological discordances between individual UCE trees and the species tree. These discordances are possibly caused by the lack of phylogenetic signal present in a single UCE marker and by population-level processes, such as incomplete lineage sorting and introgression, which frequently occurs during the emergence of new

lineages (Degnan & Rosenberg, 2009; Blom et al., 2017; Parins-Fukuchi et al., 2021). Recent 371 372 studies indicated that the phylogenetic resolution can be improved by merging loci localized within the same gene (Hedin et al., 2019; Van Dam et al., 2021). Indeed, treating cogenic UCEs 373 374 as independent units violates the assumptions of multi-species coalescence analyses (Szöllősi et al., 2015; Jennings, 2017). We used the annotation report of Z. nevadensis to identify and 375 376 merge cogenic UCEs. Although merging cogenic UCEs significantly improved our results, 377 several unresolved relationships with low overall support remained (Figure S7). Other methods 378 could be used to tackle the duplicity of cogenic UCEs, such as the random selection of UCEs 379 within a gene, or their separation using an intrachromosomal distance threshold to take into 380 account recombination (Jennings, 2017; Van Dam et al., 2021). In termites, however, it might 381 be difficult to apply such a threshold due to the variable numbers of chromosomes (2n = 22) 382 to 98) and large genome size variations across species (C-value = 0.57 to 1.86Gb) (Koshikawa 383 et al., 2008; Jankásek et al., 2021). The actual relationships among termite lineages with 384 unresolved positions remain unclear, possibly reflecting intricate evolutionary history that 385 cannot be satisfactorily resolved by molecular phylogenetic techniques. Overall, 66% of UCE 386 loci in the termite bait set were found in exonic regions, confirming that UCEs are often part of 387 the coding regions in arthropods (Hedin et al., 2019; Van Dam et al., 2021). The similar 388 resolution of transcriptomic-based and UCE-based phylogenies reflects the similar nature of 389 the markers involved.

While producing low-coverage genomes is more costly than targeting UCEs through synthetized baits, low-coverage genome data can be used to investigate a broad range of questions in addition to phylogenetic reconstruction. Nevertheless, we provide two sets of baits, one targeting all 50,616 UCE loci and one targeting the reduced set of 5,934 UCE loci (Supplementary Data 5 and 8). These bait sets can be used for data-mining of full genome assemblies as we did, or synthetized for a traditional hybridization approach. We showed that

396 UCEs could be extracted from samples collected in RNA-later®, ethanol 100%, and ethanol 397 80-85%. Hence, used in combination with non-destructive DNA extraction protocols, our UCE 398 baits could be used to obtain sequence data from material that cannot be damaged, such as specimens from type series. This approach was successfully applied to centuries-old museum 399 400 specimens of Opiliones, carpenter bees, and weevils (Blaimer et al., 2016; Van Dam et al., 2017; Derkarabetian et al., 2019). We recently obtained the full mitogenome of a Syntype of 401 the termite Archotermopsis wroughtoni collected at the end of the 19th century using shotgun 402 403 sequencing data (Wang et al., 2021). Termite UCEs could be extracted using the same procedures. Termite taxonomy, which is led by a shrinking pool of experts and is largely based 404 405 on soldier and worker gut morphology, could benefit from the use of the many UCE markers 406 designed in this study (Eggleton, 1999; Korb et al., 2019). UCE baiting from whole-genome 407 shotgun sequencing is an excellent tool to carry out a global taxonomic revision of termites.

408 **References**

409	Allio, R., Schomaker-Bastos, A., Romiguier, J., Prosdocimi, F., Nabholz, B., Delsuc, F. 2020.
410	MitoFinder: efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target
411	enrichment phylogenomics. Molecular Ecology Resources 20: 892–905.
412	Barden, P., Engel, M.S. 2021. Fossil social insects. In: Encyclopedia of Social Insects (C. K.
413	Starr, ed), pp. 384–403. Springer.
414	Bejerano, G., Pheasant, M., Makunin, I., Stephen, S., Kent, W.J., Mattick, J.S., Haussler, D.
415	2004. Ultraconserved elements in the human genome. Science 304: 1321–1325.
416	Blaimer, B.B., Lloyd, M.W., Guillory, W.X., Brady, S.G. 2016. Sequence capture and
417	phylogenetic utility of genomic ultraconserved elements obtained from pinned insect
418	specimens. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 11 : e0161531.
419	Blom, M.P.K., Bragg, J.G., Potter, S., Moritz, C. 2017. Accounting for uncertainty in gene tree
420	estimation: summary-coalescent species tree inference in a challenging radiation of
421	Australian lizards. Systematic Biology 66: 352–366.
422	Bourguignon, T., Lo, N., Cameron, S.L., Šobotník, J., Hayashi, Y., Shigenobu, S., Watanabe,
423	D., Roisin, Y., Miura, T., Evans, T.A. 2015. The evolutionary history of termites as
424	inferred from 66 mitochondrial genomes. <i>Molecular Biology and Evolution</i> 32 : 406–421.
425	Bourguignon, T., Lo, N., Šobotník, J., Ho, S.Y.W., Iqbal, N., Coissac, É., Lee, M., Jendryka,
426	M.M., Sillam-Dussès, D., Křížková, B., Roisin, Y., Evans, T.A. 2017. Mitochondrial

- 427 phylogenomics resolves the global spread of higher termites, ecosystem engineers of the
- 428 tropics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**: 589–597.
- 429 Branstetter, M.G., Longino, J.T. 2019. Ultra-conserved element phylogenomics of New World

- 430 Ponera (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) illuminates the origin and phylogeographic history of
 431 the endemic exotic ant *Ponera exotica*. *Insect Systematics and Diversity* **3**: 1.
- 432 Bucek, A., Šobotník, J., He, S., Shi, M., McMahon, D.P., Holmes, E.C., Roisin, Y., Lo, N.,
- 433 Bourguignon, T. 2019. Evolution of termite symbiosis informed by transcriptome-based
- 434 phylogenies. *Current Biology* **29**: 3728-3734.e4.
- 435 Buenaventura, E., Lloyd, M.W., Perilla López, J.M., González, V.L., Thomas-Cabianca, A.,
- 436 Dikow, T. 2021. Protein-encoding ultraconserved elements provide a new phylogenomic
- 437 perspective of Oestroidea flies (Diptera: Calyptratae). *Systematic Entomology* **46**: 5–27.
- 438 Cameron, S.L. 2014. Insect mitochondrial genomics: implications for evolution and phylogeny.
 439 *Annual Review of Entomology* 59: 95–117.
- Cameron, S.L., Lo, N., Bourguignon, T., Svenson, G.J., Evans, T.A. 2012. A mitochondrial
 genome phylogeny of termites (Blattodea: Termitoidae): robust support for interfamilial
 relationships and molecular synapomorphies define major clades. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 65: 163–173.
- Castresana, J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in
 phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 17: 540–552.
- Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., Gu, J. 2018. Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34: i884–i890.
- Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q. 2016. Terrace aware data structure for
 phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Systematic Biology* 65: 997–1008.
- Degnan, J.H., Rosenberg, N.A. 2009. Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the
 multispecies coalescent. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24: 332–340.

452	Derkarabetian, S., Benavides, L.R., Giribet, G. 2019. Sequence capture phylogenomics of
453	historical ethanol-preserved museum specimens: unlocking the rest of the vault. Molecular
454	Ecology Resources 19: 1531–1544.

- Eggleton, P. 1999. Termite species description rates and the state of termite taxonomy. *Insectes Sociaux* 46: 1–5.
- 457 Engel, M.S., Grimaldi, D.A., Krishna, K. 2009. Termites (Isoptera): their phylogeny,
 458 classification, and rise to ecological dominance. *American Museum Novitates* 3650: 1–27.
- Engel, M.S., Grimaldi, D.A., Nascimbene, P.C., Singh, H. 2011. The termites of Early Eocene
 Cambay amber, with the earliest record of the Termitidae (Isoptera). *ZooKeys* 148: 105–
- 461 123.
- 462 Evangelista, D.A., Wipfler, B., Béthoux, O., Donath, A., Fujita, M., Kohli, M.K., Legendre, F.,
- 463 Liu, S., Machida, R., Misof, B., Peters, R.S., Podsiadlowski, L., Rust, J., Schuette, K.,
- 464 Tollenaar, W., Ware, J.L., Wappler, T., Zhou, X., Meusemann, K., Simon, S. 2019. An
- 465 integrative phylogenomic approach illuminates the evolutionary history of cockroaches
- 466 and termites (Blattodea). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **286**: 20182076.
- 467 Faircloth, B.C. 2017. Identifying conserved genomic elements and designing universal bait sets
 468 to enrich them. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 8: 1103–1112.
- 469 Faircloth, B.C. 2016. PHYLUCE is a software package for the analysis of conserved genomic
 470 loci. *Bioinformatics* 32: 786–788.
- 471 Faircloth, B.C., Branstetter, M.G., White, N.D., Brady, S.G. 2015. Target enrichment of
 472 ultraconserved elements from arthropods provides a genomic perspective on relationships
 473 among Hymenoptera. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 15: 489–501.

- 474 Faircloth, B.C., McCormack, J.E., Crawford, N.G., Harvey, M.G., Brumfield, R.T., Glenn, T.C.
- 475 2012. Ultraconserved elements anchor thousands of genetic markers spanning multiple
 476 evolutionary timescales. *Systematic Biology* 61: 717–726.
- 477 Grimaldi, D.A., Engel, M.S. 2005. *Evolution of the Insects*. Cambridge University Press,
 478 Cambridge.
- 479 Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
 480 genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29: 1072–1075.
- 481 Harris, R.S. 2007. Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA. The Pennsylvania State482 University.
- Harrison, M.C., Jongepier, E., Robertson, H.M., Arning, N., Bitard-Feildel, T., Chao, H.,
 Childers, C.P., Dinh, H., Doddapaneni, H., Dugan, S., Gowin, J., Greiner, C., Han, Y., Hu,
- 485 H., Hughes, D.S.T., Huylmans, A.K., Kemena, C., Kremer, L.P.M., Lee, S.L., Lopez-
- 486 Ezquerra, A., Mallet, L., Monroy-Kuhn, J.M., Moser, A., Murali, S.C., Muzny, D.M.,
- 487 Otani, S., Piulachs, M.D., Poelchau, M., Qu, J., Schaub, F., Wada-Katsumata, A., Worley,
- 488 K.C., Xie, Q., Ylla, G., Poulsen, M., Gibbs, R.A., Schal, C., Richards, S., Belles, X., Korb,
- 489 J., Bornberg-Bauer, E. 2018. Hemimetabolous genomes reveal molecular basis of termite
- 490 eusociality. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **2**: 557–566.
- Hedin, M., Derkarabetian, S., Alfaro, A., Ramírez, M.J., Bond, J.E. 2019. Phylogenomic
 analysis and revised classification of atypoid mygalomorph spiders (Araneae,
 Mygalomorphae), with notes on arachnid ultraconserved element loci. *PeerJ* 7: e6864.
- Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., Vinh, L.S. 2018. UFBoot2:
 improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:
 518–522.

- Huang, W., Li, L., Myers, J.R., Marth, G.T. 2012. ART: a next-generation sequencing read
 simulator. *Bioinformatics* 28: 593–594.
- Inward, D.J.G., Vogler, A.P., Eggleton, P. 2007. A comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of
 termites (Isoptera) illuminates key aspects of their evolutionary biology. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 44: 953–967.
- Itakura, S., Yoshikawa, Y., Togami, Y., Umezawa, K. 2020. Draft genome sequence of the
 termite, *Coptotermes formosanus*: genetic insights into the pyruvate dehydrogenase
 complex of the termite. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 23: 666–674.
- Jankásek, M., Kotyková Varadínová, Z., Stáhlavský, F. 2021. Blattodea karyotype database. *European Journal of Entomology* 118: 192–199.
- Jennings, W.B. 2017. On the independent gene trees assumption in phylogenomic studies.
 Molecular Ecology 26: 4862–4871.
- Jouault, C., Legendre, F., Grandcolas, P., Nel, A. 2021. Revising dating estimates and the
 antiquity of eusociality in termites using the fossilized birth-death process. *Systematic Entomology* 46: 592–610.
- 512 Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., Von Haeseler, A., Jermiin, L.S. 2017.
 513 ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods*514 14: 587–589.
- Katoh, K., Standley, D.M. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
 improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 772–
 780.
- 518 Kent, W.J. 2002. BLAT—The BLAST-like alignment tool. *Genome Research* 12: 656–664.

- 519 Korb, J., Kasseney, B.D., Cakpo, Y.T., Casalla Daza, R.H., Gbenyedji, J.N.K.B., Ilboudo, M.E.,
- 520 Josens, G., Koné, N.A., Meusemann, K., Ndiaye, A.B., Okweche, S.I., Poulsen, M., Roisin,
- 521 Y., Sankara, F. 2019. Termite taxonomy, challenges and prospects: West Africa, a case
 522 example. *Insects* 10: 32.
- Koshikawa, S., Miyazaki, S., Cornette, R., Matsumoto, T., Miura, T. 2008. Genome size of
 termites (Insecta, Dictyoptera, Isoptera) and wood roaches (Insecta, Dictyoptera,
 Cryptocercidae). *Naturwissenschaften* 95: 859–867.
- 526 Kück, P., Longo, G.C. 2014. FASconCAT-G: extensive functions for multiple sequence
 527 alignment preparations concerning phylogenetic studies. *Frontiers in Zoology* 11: 81.
- Legendre, F., Nel, A., Svenson, G.J., Robillard, T., Pellens, R., Grandcolas, P. 2015. Phylogeny
 of Dictyoptera: dating the origin of cockroaches, praying mantises and termites with
 molecular data and controlled fossil evidence. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0130127.
- 531 Legendre, F., Whiting, M.F., Bordereau, C., Cancello, E.M., Evans, T. a., Grandcolas, P. 2008.
- 532 The phylogeny of termites (Dictyoptera: Isoptera) based on mitochondrial and nuclear
- 533 markers: Implications for the evolution of the worker and pseudergate castes, and foraging
- behaviors. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **48**: 615–627.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
 Durbin, R. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:
 2078–2079.
- Lo, N., Kitade, O., Miura, T., Constantino, R., Matsumoto, T. 2004. Molecular phylogeny of
 the Rhinotermitidae. *Insectes Sociaux* 51: 365–371.
- Lunter, G., Goodson, M. 2011. Stampy: a statistical algorithm for sensitive and fast mapping
 of Illumina sequence reads. *Genome Research* 21: 936–939.

- Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., Von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and
 effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32: 268–274.
- Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A., Pevzner, P.A. 2017. metaSPAdes: a new versatile
 metagenomic assembler. *Genome Research* 27: 824–834.
- 547 Parins-Fukuchi, C., Stull, G.W., Smith, S.A. 2021. Phylogenomic conflict coincides with rapid
 548 morphological innovation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*549 *States of America* 118: e2023058118.
- 550 Poulsen, M., Hu, H., Li, C., Chen, Z., Xu, L., Otani, S., Nygaard, S., Nobre, T., Klaubauf, S.,
- 551 Schindler, P.M., Hauser, F., Pan, H., Yang, Z., Sonnenberg, A.S.M., de Beer, Z.W., Zhang,
- 552 Y., Wingfield, M.J., Grimmelikhuijzen, C.J.P., de Vries, R.P., Korb, J., Aanen, D.K.,
- 553 Wang, J., Boomsma, J.J., Zhang, G. 2014. Complementary symbiont contributions to plant
- decomposition in a fungus-farming termite. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111: 14500–14505.
- 556 Quattrini, A.M., Faircloth, B.C., Dueñas, L.F., Bridge, T.C.L., Brugler, M.R., Calixto-Botía,
- 557 I.F., DeLeo, D.M., Forêt, S., Herrera, S., Lee, S.M.Y., Miller, D.J., Prada, C., Rádis-
- 558 Baptista, G., Ramírez-Portilla, C., Sánchez, J.A., Rodríguez, E., McFadden, C.S. 2018.
- 559 Universal target-enrichment baits for anthozoan (Cnidaria) phylogenomics: new 560 approaches to long-standing problems. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **18**: 281–295.
- Quinlan, A.R., Hall, I.M. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
 features. *Bioinformatics* 26: 841–842.
- 563 Rice, P., Longden, L., Bleasby, A. 2000. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open
 564 Software Suite. *Trends in Genetics* 16: 276–277.

- 565 Ryu, T., Seridi, L., Ravasi, T. 2012. The evolution of ultraconserved elements with different
 566 phylogenetic origins. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12: 236.
- 567 Sayyari, E., Mirarab, S. 2016. Fast coalescent-based computation of local branch support from
 568 quartet frequencies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33: 1654–1668.
- 569 Simão, F.A., Waterhouse, R.M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., Zdobnov, E.M. 2015.
 570 BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
 571 orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31: 3210–3212.
- 572 Smit, A.F.A., Hubley, R., Green, P. 2015. RepeatMasker Open-4.0 2013-2015.
- 573 Smith, B.T., Harvey, M.G., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Brumfield, R.T. 2014. Target capture
- and massively parallel sequencing of ultraconserved elements for comparative studies at
 shallow evolutionary time scales. *Systematic Biology* 63: 83–95.
- Smith, S.A., Moore, M.J., Brown, J.W., Yang, Y. 2015. Analysis of phylogenomic datasets
 reveals conflict, concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and
 plants. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 15: 150. BMC Evolutionary Biology.
- Suyama, M., Torrents, D., Bork, P. 2006. PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence
 alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34: W609–
 W612.
- 582 Szöllősi, G.J., Tannier, E., Daubin, V., Boussau, B. 2015. The inference of gene trees with
 583 species trees. *Systematic Biology* 64: e42–e62.
- Talavera, G., Castresana, J. 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and
 ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Systematic Biology* 56:
 564–577.

26

587	Terrapon, N., Li, C., Robertson, H.M., Ji, L., Meng, X., Booth, W., Chen, Z., Childers, C.P.,
588	Glastad, K.M., Gokhale, K., Gowin, J., Gronenberg, W., Hermansen, R.A., Hu, H., Hunt,
589	B.G., Huylmans, A.K., Khalil, S.M.S., Mitchell, R.D., Munoz-Torres, M.C., Mustard, J.A.,
590	Pan, H., Reese, J.T., Scharf, M.E., Sun, F., Vogel, H., Xiao, J., Yang, W., Yang, Z., Yang,
591	Z., Zhou, J., Zhu, J., Brent, C.S., Elsik, C.G., Goodisman, M.A.D., Liberles, D.A., Roe,
592	R.M., Vargo, E.L., Vilcinskas, A., Wang, J., Bornberg-Bauer, E., Korb, J., Zhang, G.,
593	Liebig, J. 2014. Molecular traces of alternative social organization in a termite genome.
594	Nature Communications 5: 3636.
595	Thorne, B.L., Grimaldi, D.A., Krishna, K. 2000. Early fossil history of the termites. In:
596	Termites: Evolution, Sociality, Symbioses, Ecology (T. Abe, D. E. Bignell, & M. Higashi,
597	eds), pp. 77–93. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
598	Van Dam, M.H., Henderson, J.B., Esposito, L., Trautwein, M. 2021. Genomic characterization

and curation of UCEs improves species tree reconstruction. *Systematic Biology* 70: 307–
321.

- 601 Van Dam, M.H., Lam, A.W., Sagata, K., Gewa, B., Laufa, R., Balke, M., Faircloth, B.C., Riedel,
- A. 2017. Ultraconserved elements (UCEs) resolve the phylogeny of Australasian smurfweevils. *PLoS ONE* 12: e0188044.
- Wang, M., Hellemans, S., Šobotník, J., Arora, J., Buček, A., Sillam-Dussès, D., Clitheroe, C.,
 Lu, T., Lo, N., Engel, M.S., Roisin, Y., Evans, T.A., Bourguignon, T. 2021. Historical
 biogeography of early diverging termite lineages (Isoptera: Teletisoptera). *bioRxiv*2021.12.02.471008.
- Ware, J.L., Grimaldi, D.A., Engel, M.S. 2010. The effects of fossil placement and calibration
 on divergence times and rates: an example from the termites (Insecta: Isoptera). *Arthropod Structure and Development* **39**: 204–219. Elsevier Ltd.

611	White, N.D., Braun, M.J. 2019. Extracting phylogenetic signal from phylogenomic data:
612	higher-level relationships of the nightbirds (Strisores). Molecular Phylogenetics and
613	<i>Evolution</i> 141 : 106611.

- 614 Whitfield, J.B., Lockhart, P.J. 2007. Deciphering ancient rapid radiations. *Trends in Ecology*615 *and Evolution* 22: 258–265.
- 616 Zhang, C., Rabiee, M., Sayyari, E., Mirarab, S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species
 617 tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* 19: 153.
- 618 Zhang, Y.M., Williams, J.L., Lucky, A. 2019. Understanding UCEs: a comprehensive primer
- on using ultraconserved elements for arthropod phylogenomics. *Insect Systematics and Diversity* 3: 3.
- Zhao, Z., Eggleton, P., Yin, X., Gao, T., Shih, C., Ren, D. 2019. The oldest known
 mastotermitids (Blattodea: Termitoidae) and phylogeny of basal termites. *Systematic Entomology* 44: 612–623.

624

625 Figure captions

Figure 1: Overview of datasets and main analyses performed in this study. Abbreviations: CDS,
protein-coding sequence; mito, mitochondrial; *n*, number of species; nuc, nuclear; UCEs^{75%}:
UCEs present in the 75% completeness supermatrix; UCEs^{merged}: merged cogenic UCEs.

629

630 Figure 2: (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of termites reconstructed with IQ-TREE using 5,934 UCE loci and complete mitochondrial genomes (phylogenetic tree displayed in 631 632 Figure S4). Only UCE loci present in more than 75% of species were used (the number of loci baited and kept in the matrix is indicated for each sample). Support values are indicated for 633 634 non-fully resolved nodes: ultrafast bootstrap (UFB: summarized from the phylogenetic trees 635 reconstructed using UCE only and UCE + mitochondrial DNA displayed in Figures S3 and S4, 636 respectively) and ASTRAL-III local posterior probabilities values (LPP; Figure S5). 637 Assemblies from which UCEs were designed are indicated in bold, and the conservation 638 methods are indicated in front of each species label (RNA-later®, R; ethanol 100%, E100; ethanol 80-85%, all remaining samples). (B) Family-level summary topology of termites 639 640 supported by both UCEs (this study) and transcriptomic data (Bucek et al., 2019), with the 641 indication of alternative topologies inferred from mitochondrial genome data alone (Bourguignon et al., 2015, 2017). Unsupported splits were summarized as polytomies 642 643 (branches in red).





IQ-TREE

